## UNIT 3B ECO Sample Data Interpretation Tasks

1. Multiplier

This question refers to the Keynesian expenditure diagram below.

(a) Correctly label the above diagram so as to illustrate an increase in investment, resulting from BHP's expansion to meet increased demand from India.
(b) Explain how an understanding of the multiplier may be useful for policy makers.
(c) Use the diagram to explain the effect of an increase in investment on the Australian economy.

1. Multiplier


Part (a)

| Description | Marks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdot$ See above model | 2 |  |
|  | Total | 2 |

Part (b)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\bullet$ Multiplier definition. | 1 |
| • Desired change in Y requires smaller change in injection/withdrawal. | $1-2$ |
| • Policy examples | $1-2$ |
|  | $\mathbf{T o t a l}$ |

Part (c)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Explain multiplier process (refer to diagram) <br> New, higher equilibrium Y where S = I. Larger change in Y than <br> change in I. <br> Effect on spending, output and employment. <br> Capacity constraints limit flow-on effects.$1-2$$\quad$ Total | $\mathbf{6}$ |

2. Macro - Productivity/Unemployment

This question refers to the table below. This table relates to the Australian economy.

| Year | GDP (\% change) | GDP per hour <br> worked | Nominal Non-farm <br> Sector Real Unit <br> Labour Costs | Unemployment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 3.2 | 97.7 | 102.5 | 6.2 |
| 2003 | 4.1 | 99.7 | 101.1 | 5.8 |
| 2004 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.3 |
| 2005 | 3.0 | 101.1 | 99.2 | 5.1 |
| 2006 | 3.2 | 101.3 | 98.8 | 4.6 |
| 2007 | 3.5 | 101.9 | 99.2 | 4.5 |

Source: Australian Economic Statistics (Robert Prince et al)
(a) Why might the rate of increase of 'GDP per hour worked' have slowed in recent years?
(b) Use data from the table to describe the trends in productivity and competitiveness since 2002.
(c) Use data from the table to evaluate Australia's macroeconomic success since 2002.

Part (a)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Low unemployment (below natural rate), thus lesser skilled workers <br> being employed. | $1-2$ |
| • Capacity constraints. | Total |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  |

Part (b)

| Description | Marks |
| ---: | :---: |
| - Productivity (GDP per hour) has increased from 97.7 to 101.9 | $1-2$ |
| - Competitiveness (unit labour costs) has increased as costs have reduced <br> from 102.5 to 99.2. Relate to export and import competing businesses. | $1-3$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4}$ |

## Part (c)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Macro success | 1 |
| - Unemployment decreasing from 6.2 to 4.5; Lower per unit costs <br> reduces inflationary pressures, as does increased GDP per hour; Eco <br> growth reflected by increased productivity/efficiency and increased <br> GDP each year. | $1-5$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ |

## 3. Macroeconomic indicators

This question refers to the two graphs below.
(a) The trend, since 2003, is that household interest paid as a percentage of disposable income is $\qquad$ .
Household debt has become greater than household disposable income since $\qquad$ .
(b) Describe any relationships that appear to exist between the measures, in the above graphs, since 2003.
(c) Explain how the change in household debt and interest paid, since 2007, may have impacted on demand and output.

Part (a)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\cdot$ Increasing. | 1 |
| $\cdot 2001$. | Total |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ |

Part (b)

| Description | Marks |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As debt $\% \uparrow$, interest paid $\% \uparrow$. | 1 |  |  |  |
| • Final demand and GDP have same pattern. | 1 |  |  |  |
| • GDP/Final demand gap = Net exports deficit. | 1 |  |  |  |
| - State data from the graphs. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |

Part (c)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Increased household debt leads to increased interest paid (\%Yd). | 1 |
| - Increased interest leads to less discretionary spending Y, which leads to <br> lower levels of consumption, output and investment. | $1-2$ |
| • State data from graphs. | 1 |
| $\bullet$ 2008 demand/GDP below 'desired'level. | 1 |
| - Contractionary impact on the economy. | 1 |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ |

4. Fiscal Policy/Monetary Policy

This question refers to the cartoon below.


NB: Between 2005/06 and 2007/08 the Federal Government ran Budget surpluses. During the same time the RBA had been increasing its cash rate.
(a) The cartoon relates to the 2007/08 Budget. What changes does the cartoon depict Mr Costello to have made?
(b) Explain the likely effect of this budgetary change on income earners.
(c) Explain how this policy stance could be in conflict with the RBA's monetary policy stance.
(6 marks)
Part (a)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Increased expenditure \&/or tax benefits for low income earners. | $1-2$ |
| $\bullet$ Reduced surplus. | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ |

Part (b)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Reduced surplus 'should' have expansionary effect. | 1 |
| - Increased Yd for low income earners leads to increased consumption <br> and standard of living. | $1-2$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4}$ |

Part (c)

| Description | Marks |
| :--- | :---: |
| - FP - expansionary/political (tax cuts) creates increased demand, output, <br> investment and employment (economic growth). | $1-2$ |
| - MP - contractionary ( $\uparrow \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{r})$; concerned with inflationary pressures of $\uparrow$ <br> demand, given capacity constraints). | $1-2$ |
| • FP may have some role in $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{r} \uparrow$. | 1 |
| • FP and MP are in conflict. | 1 |
| - May use an AD/AS model. | 1 |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ |

