

Citation	Reason excluded
1. Absetz P, Aro AR, Sutton SR. Experience with breast cancer, pre-screening perceived susceptibility and the psychological impact of screening. <i>Psychooncology</i> 2003; 12 :305–18.	Setting only
2. Andersen SB, Vejborg I, von Euler-Chelpin M. Participation behaviour following a false positive test in the Copenhagen mammography screening programme. <i>Acta Oncol</i> 2008; 47 :550–5.	Setting only
3. Andrykowski MA, Carpenter JS, Studts JL, Cordova MJ, Cunningham LLC, Beacham A, et al. Psychological impact of benign breast biopsy: a longitudinal, comparative study. <i>Health Psychol</i> 2002; 21 :485–94.	Setting only
4. Aro AR, Pilvikki Absetz S, van Elderen TM, van der Ploeg E, van der Kamp LJ. False-positive findings in mammography screening induces short-term distress – breast cancer-specific concern prevails longer. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2000; 36 :1089–97.	Setting only
5. Austoker J. Screening and self examination for breast cancer. <i>BMJ</i> 1994; 309 :168–74.	Design
6. Baines CJ, To T, Wall C. Women’s attitudes to screening after participation in the National Breast Screening Study. A questionnaire survey. <i>Cancer</i> 1990; 65 :1663–9.	Setting only
7. Barton MB, Moore S, Morley DS, Allen JD, Kleinman KP, Emmons KE, et al. Decreasing anxiety after false-positive mammograms: a controlled trial. <i>J Gen Intern Med</i> 2002; 17 :141.	Setting only
8. Barton MB, Morley DS, Moore S, Allen JD, Kleinman KP, Emmons KM, et al. Decreasing women’s anxieties after abnormal mammograms: a controlled trial. <i>J Natl Cancer Inst</i> 2004; 96 :529–38.	Setting only
9. Barton MB, Moore S, Polk S, Shtatland E, Elmore JG, Fletcher SW. Increased patient concern after false-positive mammograms – clinician documentation and subsequent ambulatory visits. <i>J Gen Intern Med</i> 2001; 16 :150–6.	Setting only
10. Baskinsmith J, Miaskowski C, Dibble SL, Weekes D, Nielsen BB. Perceptions of the mammography experience. <i>Cancer Nurs</i> 1995; 18 :47–52.	Setting, population
11. Bishop C, Fisher EB, Heckman BD, Merbaum M, Monsees B, Ristvedt S. Coping and anxiety in women recalled for additional diagnostic procedures following an abnormal screening mammogram. <i>Health Psychol</i> 2004; 23 :42–8.	Duplicate
12. Boekema AG, Dornseiffen G, Mulder HJ, de Vos RA, Klufft-de Haas BA. Initial results with breast screening in the Enschede area. II. Efficiency. <i>Ned Tijdschr Geneesk</i> 1992; 136 :1761–4.	Language, population, setting
13. Brewer NT, Salz T, Lillie SE. Research digest: false-positive mammogram results may affect feelings, actions. <i>Patient Care</i> 2007; 41 :2.	Design
14. Brodersen J, Thorsen H, Cockburn J. The adequacy of measurement of short and long-term consequences of false-positive screening mammography. <i>J Med Screen</i> 2004; 11 :39–44.	Design
15. Brown ML, Chiu Y, Currence B, Moore A, Earp J, Pisano ED. A telephone survey to determine the psychosocial effects of a false positive mammogram leading to a breast biopsy vs short-term follow-up mammography. <i>Radiology</i> 2002; 225 :369.	Setting, design
16. Burman ML, Taplin SH, Herta DF, Elmore JG. Effect of false-positive mammograms on interval breast cancer screening in a health maintenance organization. <i>Ann Int Med</i> 1999; 131 :1–6.	Setting only
17. Byram SJ. <i>Breast cancer and mammogram screening: mental models and quantitative assessments of beliefs</i> . ProQuest Information & Learning; 1999.	Unobtainable thesis
18. Calnan MW, Moss S, Chamberlain J. Explaining attendance at a breast screening clinic. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 1985; 7 :87–96.	Population, length of follow up

Citation	Reason excluded
19. del Carmen C, Rodriguez A, Cruzado JA, Gonzalez A, Gonzalez MJ, Roa A. Anxiety, depression and quality of life in the course of mammography screening among women of the Madrid Region. <i>Psicooncologia</i> 2008; 5 :129–46.	Language, population, setting
20. Chiarelli AM, Moravan V, Halapy E, Majpruz V, Mai V, Tatla RK. False-positive result and reattendance in the Ontario Breast Screening Program. <i>J Med Screen</i> 2003; 10 :129–33.	Setting only
21. Champion V. Development of a benefits and barriers scale for mammography utilization. <i>Cancer Nurs</i> 1995; 18 :53–9.	Population, outcomes, setting
22. Champion V, Huster G. Effect of interventions on stage of mammography adoption. <i>J Behav Med</i> 1995; 18 :169–87.	Intervention, population, outcomes, setting
23. Clutton S, Pakenham KI, Buckley B. Predictors of emotional well-being following a 'false-positive' breast cancer screening result. <i>Psychol Health</i> 1999; 14 :263–75.	Setting only
24. Cockburn J, Staples M, Hurley SF, De Luise T. Psychological consequences of screening mammography. <i>J Med Screen</i> 1994; 1 :7–12.	Setting only
25. Currence BV, Pisano ED, Earp JA, Moore A, Chiu YF, Brown ME, <i>et al</i> . Does biopsy, aspiration or six-month follow-up of a false-positive mammogram reduce future screening or have large psychosocial effects? <i>Acad Radiol</i> 2003; 10 :1257.	Setting only
26. DeFrank JT, Brewer N. A model of the influence of false-positive mammography screening results on subsequent screening. <i>Health Psychol Rev</i> 2010; 4 :112–17.	Outcomes, setting, design
27. Ekeberg O, Skjauff H, Karesen R. Screening for breast cancer is associated with a low degree of psychological distress. <i>Breast</i> 2001; 10 :20–4.	Setting only
28. Eardley A, Elkind A. Breast screening among women aged 65 and over – what do they think of it? <i>J Public Health Med</i> 1991; 13 :172–7.	Population
29. Edwards Adrian GK, Evans R, Dundon J, Haigh S, Hood K, Elwyn Glyn J. <i>Personalised risk communication for informed decision making about taking screening tests</i> . In Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Issue 4. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2006.	Intervention, population, comparator, outcomes
30. Elkind A, Eardley A. Consumer satisfaction with breast screening – a pilot study. <i>J Public Health Med</i> 1990; 12 :15–18.	Population
31. Fallowfield LJ, Rodway A, Baum M. What are the psychological factors influencing attendance, nonattendance and re-attendance at a breast screening center. <i>J R Soc Med</i> 1990; 83 :547–51.	Population
32. Ganott MA, Sumkin JH, King JL, Klym AH, Catullo VJ, Cohen CS, <i>et al</i> . Screening mammography: do women prefer a higher recall rate given the possibility of earlier detection of cancer? <i>Radiology</i> 2006; 238 :793–800.	Population, outcomes
33. Gibson CJ, Weiss J, Goodrich M, Onega T. False-positive mammography and depressed mood in a screening population: findings from the New Hampshire Mammography Network. <i>J Pub Health</i> 2009; 31 :554–60.	Setting only
34. Gilbert FJ, Cordiner CM, Affleck IR, Hood DB, Mathieson D, Walker LG. Breast screening: the psychological sequelae of false-positive recall in women with and without a family history of breast cancer. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 1998; 34 :2010–14.	Population
35. Godby CJ, Peticolas A, Carter JM, Ghebrehewet S, Woloshin S, Schwartz L, <i>et al</i> . Women's attitudes to false positive mammography results. 2000; 321 :1409–11.	Design
36. Gotzsche PC, Nielsen M. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. <i>The Cochrane Collaboration</i> . 2006; 4 .	Unobtainable
37. Gotzsche PC, Nielsen M. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2009; 4 :	Outcomes
38. Gotzsche PC, Nielsen M. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2011; 1 .	Outcomes

Citation	Reason excluded
39. Gram IT, Lund E, Slenker SE. Quality of life following a false positive mammogram. <i>Br J Cancer</i> 1990; 62 :1018–22.	Setting only
40. Gram IT, Slenker SE. Cancer anxiety and attitudes toward mammography among screening attenders, nonattenders, and women never invited. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 1992; 82 :249–51.	Setting, length of follow-up
41. Haas J, Kaplan C, McMillan A, Esserman LJ. Does timely assessment affect the anxiety associated with an abnormal mammogram result? <i>J Womens Health Gen Based Med</i> 2001; 10 :599–605.	Population, outcomes, setting
42. Heckman BD, Fisher EB, Monsees B, Merbaum M, Ristvedt S, Bishop C. Coping and anxiety in women recalled for additional diagnostic procedures following an abnormal screening mammogram. <i>Health Psychol</i> 2004; 23 :42–8.	Setting only
43. Heckman BD. <i>Psychosocial factors that promote adjustment among women recalled for a diagnostic assessment following a screening mammogram</i> . ProQuest Information & Learning; 2001.	Unobtainable thesis
44. Hislop TG, Harris SR, Jackson J, Thorne SE, Rousseau EJ, Coldman AJ, et al. Satisfaction and anxiety for women during investigation of an abnormal screening mammogram. <i>Breast Cancer Res Treat</i> 2002; 76 :245–54.	Outcomes, population, setting
45. Hoekstra SA, Gentry D. Benefits of same day mammogram results. <i>Am J Clin Oncol</i> 2009; 32 :552–3.	Setting, design, outcomes, population
46. Hofvind S, Thoresen S, Tretli S. The cumulative risk of a false-positive recall in the Norwegian Breast Cancer Screening Program. <i>Cancer</i> 2004; 101 :1501–7.	Setting only
47. Jatoi I, Zhu K, Shah M, Lawrence W. Psychological distress in U.S. women who have experienced false-positive mammograms. <i>Breast Cancer Res Treat</i> 2006; 100 :191–200.	Setting only
48. Kahn BE, Luce MF. Repeated-adherence protection model: 'I'm OK, and it's a hassle'. <i>J Public Policy Mark</i> 2006; 25 :79–89.	Setting design
49. Lampic C, Thurffjell E, Bergh J, Sjoden PO. Short- and long-term anxiety and depression in women recalled after breast cancer screening. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2001; 37 :463–9.	Setting only
50. Lampic C, Thurffjell E, Sjoden PO. The influence of a false-positive mammogram on a woman's subsequent behaviour for detecting breast cancer. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2003; 39 :1730–7.	Setting only
51. Lerman C, Ross E, Boyce A, Gorchoy PM, McLaughlin R, Rimer B, et al. The impact of mailing psychoeducational materials to women with abnormal mammograms. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 1992; 82 :729–30.	Setting only
52. Lerman C, Trock B, Rimer BK, Boyce A, Jepson C, Engstrom PF. Psychological and behavioral implications of abnormal mammograms. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 1991; 114 :657–61.	Setting only
53. Lidbrink E, Levi L, Pettersson I, Rosendahl I, Rutqvist LE, Delatorre B, et al. Single-view screening mammography – psychological, endocrine and immunological effects of recalling for a complete 3-view examination. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 1995; 31A :932–3.	Setting only
54. Lipkus IM, Halabi S, Strigo TS, Rimer BK. The impact of abnormal mammograms on psychosocial outcomes and subsequent screening. <i>Psychooncology</i> 2000; 9 :402–10.	Setting only
55. Lowe JB, Balanda KP, Del Mar C, Hawes E. Psychologic distress in women with abnormal findings in mass mammography screening. <i>Cancer</i> 1999; 85 :1114–18.	Population, setting
56. Medical Advisory Secretariat. <i>Screening mammography for women aged 40 to 49 years at average risk for breast cancer: an evidence-based analysis</i> . 2007. URL: www.health.gov.on.ca/english/providers/program/mas/mas_mn.html	Outcomes, setting, population
57. Miller EG, Luce MF, Kahn BE, Conant EF. Understanding emotional reactions for negative services: the impact of efficacy beliefs and stage in process. <i>J Serv Res</i> 2009; 12 :87–99.	Population, setting

Citation	Reason excluded
58. Olsson P, Armelius K, Nordahl G, Lenner P, Westman G. Women with false positive screening mammograms: how do they cope?. <i>J Med Screen</i> 1999; 6 :89–93.	Setting only
59. Padgett DK, Yedidia MJ, Kerner J, Mandelblatt J. The emotional consequences of false positive mammography: African-American women's reactions in their own words. <i>Women Health</i> 2001; 33 :1–14.	Setting only
60. Pinckney RG, Geller BM, Burman M, Littenberg B. Effect of false-positive mammograms on return for subsequent screening mammography. <i>Am J Med</i> 2003; 114 :120–5.	Setting only
61. Pisano ED, Earp JA, Gallant TL. Screening mammography behavior after a false positive mammogram. <i>Cancer Detect Prev</i> 1998; 22 :161–7.	Setting only
62. Pisano ED, Earp J, Schell M, Vokaty K, Denham A. Screening behavior of women after a false-positive mammogram. <i>Radiology</i> 1998; 208 :245–9.	Setting only
63. Rimer BK, Keintz MK, Kessler HB, Engstrom PF, Rosan JR. Why women resist screening mammography – patient related barriers. <i>Radiology</i> 1989; 172 :243–6.	Population, setting
64. Scaf-Klomp W, Sanderman R, Van De Wiel HBM, Otter R, Van Den Heuvel WJA. Distressed or relieved? Psychological effects of participation in the population screening for breast cancer milder than expected. <i>Ned Tijdschr Geneesk</i> 1999; 143 :459–64.	Language, setting
65. Scaf-Klomp W, Sanderman R, van de Wiel HB, Otter R, van den Heuvel WJ. Distressed or relieved? Psychological side effects of breast cancer screening in The Netherlands. <i>J Epidemiol Community</i> 1997; 51 :705–10.	Setting only
66. Stewart KA, Neumann PJ, Fletcher SW, Barton MB. The effect of immediate reading of screening mammograms on medical care utilization and costs after false-positive mammograms. <i>Health Serv Res</i> 2007; 42 :1464–82.	Setting only
67. Stewart KA, Neumann PN, Fletcher SW, Barton MB. Impact on utilization and cost of an intervention to decrease anxiety after false-positive mammograms. <i>J Gen Intern Med</i> 2005; 20 :212.	Outcomes, setting, design
68. Tobias IS, Baum M. False positive findings of mammography will have psychological consequences. <i>BMJ</i> 1996; 312 :1227.	Outcomes, setting, design
69. van Wijngaarden DA. Distressed or relieved? Psychological sequelae of breast cancer screening in Netherlands. <i>Ned Tijdschr Geneesk</i> 1999; 143 :873–4.	Language, setting
70. Wardle J, Pope R. The psychological costs of screening for cancer. <i>J Psychosom Res</i> 1992; 36 :609–24.	Design
71. Zapka JG, Puleo E, Taplin SH, Goins KV, Ulcickas Yood M, et al. Processes of care in cervical and breast cancer screening and follow-up – the importance of communication. <i>Prev Med</i> 2004; 39 : 81–90.	Setting only