

**Unique ref**

.....

**Author (Year)**

.....

**Evidence type**

	Quantitative systematic review
	Qualitative systematic review
	RCT
	Qualitative research
	Economic evaluation
	Evaluation (uncontrolled/before and after)
	Other study report
	Experiential learning/case study
	Theoretical evidence/opinion/commentary/
	Other (state)

**Patient/Population**

--

**Intervention/s**

e.g. duration, intensity, frequency of contact, professionals involved
--

**Outcomes**

Population Health		Cost Effectiveness	
Patient Experience		Staff/Provider Experience	

**Quality limitations**

--

**R1: Community based coordinated care is more accessible**

IF primary care providers grow (new/expanded roles, additional services, hubs, extended hours) to deliver place-based services THEN patients will access more specialist care within community settings

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. supply-induced demand)

Characteristics of enhanced primary care

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up

## **R2: Accountability, contracting and payment systems incentivise integration**

IF commissioners award place-based contracts (incorporating new accountability and governance models, capitated budgets and risk sharing) THEN providers will adopt integrated working

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. market forces)

Characteristics of place-based contracting, accountability, governance

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up

### **R3 : Fostering relational behaviours builds resilient communities**

IF commissioners and providers develop mutually beneficial relationships and co-produce services (with patients, public, voluntary sector, community groups, local businesses, other public services) within local communities THEN health and care services will support local communities to build resilience

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. increased inequity)

Characteristics of mutuality/co-production

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up

## **M1 Collective responsibility improves quality and safety outcomes**

IF providers share collective responsibility for outcomes through standard integrated pathways THEN providers will improve the quality and safety of care

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. focus on what can be measured rather than what is important to patients)

Characteristics of collective responsibility/integrated pathways

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up

## **M2 Multidisciplinary teams provide continuity for patients with LTCs/complex needs**

IF primary care providers deliver care via MDTs (organised around natural communities) THEN patients with LTCs/complex needs will experience better continuity of care

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. market forces)

Characteristics of MDT working

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up

### **M3 Engaged and trained staff expedite cultural change**

IF commissioners and providers train and fully engage staff in service transformation THEN staff will drive the cultural change which underpins new ways of working

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. disenfranchised groups)

Characteristics of engagement

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up

#### **M4 System learning embeds and sustains transformational change**

IF MCPs learn and adapt quickly using evaluation/monitoring loops and knowledge sharing THEN MCPs will sustain transformational change

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. promoting poor practice)

Characteristics of system learning

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up



## **M5 Shared/linked data is critical to effective integration**

IF MCP staff are not able to access shared/linked data THEN patients will continue to experience fragmented care

Confirming evidence

Negating evidence

Modifying evidence

Unintended consequences (e.g. increased information security risks)

Characteristics of data sharing

Enablers/constraints

Other comments

Further references for follow up