## **Evidence Table 3: Quality of Well-Being Scale (QWB)**

Study	Study Design	Study Population	Instrument Characteristics	Results			Quality Scoring/Comments
Williams 1998 #2160	<b>O</b> 1	Population size (n): 86	Instrument/Technique Name: QWBS	Question 3: Relationship between QOL measures (s) and objective measure			Quality assessment:  Meaningfully defined study population: +  Protection from bias: +
	<b>Dates:</b> 1/94-5/96	Age (mean): 79 Sex: 51% female	Method of administration:  By whom:	QWB Scale	Legally blind one eye	Legally blind both eyes	Consideration of statistical power: +  This article is relevant to:  Question 1A Question 1B Question 1C Question 2 X Question 3
	Context:	For the Net seconded	□ Masked		- , -		
	<ul><li>□ Clinical trial</li><li>□ Cohort</li></ul>	Eye dx: Not reported	X Unmasked  □ Unknown		0.584±0.08	0.580±0.07	
	X Cross sectional  □ Longitudinal	AMD %: Not reported	Mode of administration:				
	•	AMD Type: Mixed	□ Phone interview				
	Inclusion/Exclusion criteria:	Laterality:	X Face to face interview  ☐ Mail questionnaire				
	AMD patients Vision ≤ 20/200 in one	<ul><li>Unilateral</li><li>X Bilateral</li></ul>	<ul><li>□ In office questionnaire</li><li>□ Observation</li></ul>				
	eye Vision ≤ 20/60 in	Objective Messure/s	X Other (physical exam)				
	better eye Age > 60	Objective Measure(s ) of function (e.g., visual acuity)	Respondent: X Only patient				
	No overt cognitive or psychiatric conditions Able to respond to	logMAR vision in better eye: 1.2 ± 0.5	<ul><li>□ Patient or surrogate</li><li>□ Only surrogate</li><li>□ Unknown</li></ul>				
	interview		Time points of administration: NA (cross sectional)				