Appendix E: Data Abstraction Form

PET SCANNING FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

| Reviewer: . | First <i>F</i> | Author & Year: | ProCite # |
|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| STUDY DE | ESIGN (check one): | | |
| | RCT Randomization method: | Sealed envelope Date/Chart # Not described | |
| | | Other | Describe: |
| | Cohort Case Series, no controls, Case Series, historical cor Case Series, concomitant Not Specified or unable to | ntrols, n = controls, n = | |
| STUDY LC Inclusive da | OGISTICS: ates of data collection (spec | cify month and year): | |
| Fro | om | _ to | |
| Geographic | c Location (in US give city a | nd state; outside of l | JS give city and country): |
| | POPULATION: | | |
| N = | Clarify as needed: | | |
| | ng: (check all that apply) Inpatient General outpatient clinics/ Neurologist clinic/office Izheimer's/ Cognitive impair Not specified or unable to Other Describe: | ment clinic | |
| Inclusion C | riteria (briefly describe): | | |
| Exclusion (| Criteria (briefly describe): | | |

| PET | TECHNICAL | CHARACTERISTICS: |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|

| (A) Scanr D | ner type - Dedicated / Coincident / Camera-based |
|-----------------------|--|
| ` ' | ner Model - GE advanced / Siemens ECAT / Siemens ECAT HR / Seimens EXACT HR plus / any other |
| ` Ín | olution specified— ntrinsic / Image / both / neither mentioned Details of resolution (numerical values): |

(D) Acquisition mode -

2-D / 3-D / not mentioned

(E) Acquisition time _____ / Not mentioned

(F) Injected dose of FDG _____ / Not mentioned

(G) State of patient during testing -

With minimal sensory stimulation / Eyes closed and ears plugged / any other circumstances /not mentioned

CRITERIA USED FOR DIAGNOSIS OF AD:

PET done -

Qualitatively / Quantitatively / not mentioned

Criteria used for diagnosis - Bilateral, symmetrical, posterior parietal hypo metabolism /
Bilateral asymmetrical, posterior parietal hypo metabolism /
unilateral, posterior parietal hypo metabolism

ASSESSMENT:

Done blindly / not done blindly / not mentioned

SUBJECT CHARACTERISTICS:

- 1) Specify Control Group
- 2) Use "NR" to indicate "Not reported"

| | Control Group | | AD group | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|---|----------|-----|---|---|
| Age: | | | • | | | |
| Mean | | | | | | |
| SD | | | | | | |
| Median | | | | | | |
| Range | | | | | | |
| Race: White | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Black | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Hispanic | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Other | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Gender: | | | | | | |
| Male | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Female | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| No.: | | | | | | |
| OK | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| MCI | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Mild dementia | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Moderate dementia | n = | 1 | % | n = | / | % |
| Severe dementia | n = | 1 | % | n = | 1 | % |
| Length of follow-up: | | | | | | |
| Mean | | | | | | |
| SD | | | | | | |
| Median | | | • | | | • |
| Range | | | | | | • |

RESULTS

| (Use 1 sheet for each combination of population and positivity criteria) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Population/subpopulation studied: | | | |
| Criterion for PET positivity: | | | |
| Criterion for diagnosis of AD: Clinical diagnosis / Histopathological | | | |

| | AD present | AD absent | Total |
|--------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| PET positive | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| PET negative | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | | | |
| Total | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Sens | itivit | y – |
|------|--------|------------|
|------|--------|------------|

Specificity -

Prevalence -

Use space below to develop a table:

SCORE FOR PAPER:

(Please assign a score of 0 if the paper did not adequately meet the criterion, or if the data was inadequate to determine the criterion, and assign a score of 1 if the paper met the criterion.)

| The study had a representative sample of patients with an | |
|---|-------|
| appropriate spectrum of disease. | 0 / 1 |
| 2. The setting and selection of the population under | |
| investigation was clearly described. | 0 / 1 |
| 3. The scanner model (pg. 2, A) or the type and the resolution | |
| of the scanner (pg. 2, B and C) were mentioned. | 0 / 1 |
| 4. Standard criteria were used for test interpretation. (see pg. 2) | 0 / 1 |
| 5. The test reader and the person assigning reference | |
| standard diagnosis was blinded. | 0 / 1 |
| 6. The results were categorized by disease severity. | 0 / 1 |
| 7. The follow-up was complete (no verification bias). | 0 / 1 |

| Histopathological or clinical confirmation was done on the basis of a long-term (>=one year) follow-up with standard criteria. | 0 / 1 |
|---|-------|
| Total score = | |
| | |
| PAPER RATING – | |
| (<4=POOR, 4-6 = FAIR, >7 = GOOD) | |
| POOR / FAIR / GOOD | |
| Page nos. from the article used to develop table data – | |
| Notes - | |