



TITLE: Neuro-Linguistic Programming for the Treatment of Adults with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, General Anxiety Disorder, or Depression: A Review of Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines

DATE: 3 November 2014

CONTEXT AND POLICY ISSUES

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), general anxiety disorder (GAD), and major depression disorder (MDD) are common debilitating disorders with a lifetime prevalence rate of approximately 12%, 2.6%, and 8% of Canadians, respectively.¹⁻³ In addition to pharmacologic treatment, different treatment modalities such as psychotherapy, electroconvulsive therapy, transcranial magnetic stimulation, vagus nerve stimulation, deep brain stimulation, and neuro-linguistic programming (NLP), have been suggested for these disorders.^{4,5}

NLP is a therapeutic technique used to detect and re-program unconscious patterns of thoughts and behavior in order to alter psychological responses. The creators of NLP have claimed that there is a connection between the neurological processes (neuro), language (linguistic) and behavioral patterns learned through experience (programming), and as such, it can be used in business, education, and medicine for conditions such as phobias, anxiety and depression.⁶⁻¹⁰ NLP is a multi-dimensional process usually comprised of steps such as building rapport between physician and patient, information gathering and desired health outcome definition, and use of techniques and tools such as sets of questions to facilitate a change in thinking and behavior of patients.¹¹ Therapy sessions can take place weekly with the total number of sessions depending on individual needs.

This Rapid Response report aims to review the clinical evidence of NLP for PTSD, GAD and depression. Evidence-based guidelines on the use of NLP for these disorders will also be examined.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of neuro-linguistic programming (NLP) for the treatment of adults with PTSD, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), or depression?

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. **This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only.** It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

2. What are the guidelines associated with the use of NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression?

KEY FINDINGS

No clinical evidence on NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression was identified. A SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network) guideline reported that no evidence specific to depression and meeting guideline inclusion criteria was identified on the use of NLP.

METHODS

Literature Search Strategy

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library (2014, Issue 9), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, OVID PsycINFO, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published before September 30, 2014.

Selection Criteria and Methods

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies. In the first level of screening, titles and abstracts were reviewed for relevance. Full texts of any relevant titles or abstracts were retrieved, and assessed for inclusion. The final article selection was based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Population	Adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression
Intervention	NLP
Comparator	No comparator Standard therapy
Outcomes	Clinical effectiveness and benefit Guidelines
Study Designs	Health technology assessments (HTAs), systematic reviews (SRs), meta-analyses (MAs), randomized controlled trials (RCTs), non RCTs, and guidelines

Exclusion Criteria

Articles were excluded if they did not meet the selection criteria in Table 1, if they were duplicate publications of the same study, or if they were referenced in a selected systematic review.

Critical Appraisal of Individual Studies

The quality of the guideline was assessed using the AGREE checklist.¹² Numeric scores were not calculated. Instead, the strengths and limitations of the studies are summarized and presented.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

Quantity of Research Available

The literature search yielded 399 citations. After screening of abstracts from the literature search and from other sources, 7 potentially relevant studies were selected for full-text review. One evidence-based guideline was included in this review.

The PRISMA flowchart in Appendix 1 details the process of the study selection.

Summary of Study Characteristics

A SIGN clinical guideline published in 2010 developed recommendations based on evidence of non-pharmaceutical management of depression in adults such as psychological therapies including NLP, self-help, life style modification, herbal and nutritional supplements, complementary and alternative therapies.¹³ The guideline carried out an explicit literature search strategy from 1998 to 2008 with specific criteria on patient group (adult patients with only mild to moderate depression given the nature of treatment approaches, with formal diagnosis by ICD (International Classification of Disease) 9 or 10, DSM (Diagnostic Statistical Manual) III or IV, no comorbidities) and outcomes.

Summary of Critical Appraisal

The SIGN guideline “Non-pharmaceutical management of depression in adults” is a rigorous guideline, with clear scope and purpose, based on a comprehensive systematic literature review, formulating recommendations which are specific, unambiguous and that can be generalized to adults with depression. The guideline was developed by multidisciplinary groups of practicing clinicians. Potential cost implications of applying the recommendation were not described.

Details of the strengths and limitations of the included studies are summarized in Appendix 2.

Summary of Findings

Main findings of included studies are summarized in detail in Appendix 3.

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression?

The literature search did not find clinical evidence on NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression

2. What are the guidelines associated with the use of NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression?

Despite strong recommendations on the use of psychosocial therapies such as behavioural activation, cognitive behavioural therapy, interpersonal therapy, the guideline reported that no evidence on the use of NLP specific to depression and meeting guideline inclusion criteria was identified.

Limitations

No clinical evidence on NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression and no guidelines on the use of NLP on patients with PTSD or GAD were identified. One guideline on non-pharmacological therapies for depression did not identify any evidence on the use of NLP.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DECISION OR POLICY MAKING

There was no clinical evidence identified on NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression. No guidelines on the use of NLP on patients with PTSD or GAD were found. One SIGN guideline on non-pharmacologic treatments for depression reported that no evidence specific to depression and meeting guideline inclusion criteria was identified for NLP.

Even though NLP has been suggested for a wide variety of psychological conditions, there are limitations in clinical evidence validating its assumptions. Reviews and dissertations on NLP have agreed that there is little evidence that NLP interventions improve health-related outcomes in patients with speech anxiety, social anxiety, panic disorder, phobia, or PTSD.¹⁴⁻¹⁹

In summary, given the lack of available evidence, NLP validity in the treatment of PTSD, GAD or depression is difficult to ascertain.

PREPARED BY:

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Tel: 1-866-898-8439


www.cadth.ca

REFERENCES

1. Statistics Canada. Section B - anxiety disorders. Part 6 - post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [Internet]. In: Health state descriptions for Canadians. Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2013 [cited 2014 Oct 8]. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-619-m/2012004/sections/sectionb-eng.htm>.
2. Statistics Canada. Section B - anxiety disorders. Part 4 - generalized anxiety disorder [Internet]. In: Health state descriptions for Canadians. Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2013 [cited 2014 Oct 14]. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-619-m/2012004/sections/sectionb-eng.htm>.
3. Statistics Canada. Section A - affective disorders. Part 1 - major depression [Internet]. In: Health state descriptions for Canadians. Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2013 [cited 2014 Oct 15]. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-619-m/2012004/sections/sectiona-eng.htm>.
4. Al-Harbi KS. Treatment-resistant depression: therapeutic trends, challenges, and future directions. Patient Prefer Adherence [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2014 Oct 14];6:369-88. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3363299>
5. Field ES. Neurolinguistic programming as an adjunct to other psychotherapeutic/hypnotherapeutic interventions. Am J Clin Hypn. 1990 Jan;32(3):174-82.
6. Christensen JF, Levinson W, Grindler M. Applications of neurolinguistic programming to medicine. J Gen Intern Med. 1990 Nov;5(6):522-7.
7. Konefal J, Duncan RC, Reese MA. Neurolinguistic programming training, trait anxiety, and locus of control. Psychol Rep. 1992 Jun;70(3 Pt 1):819-32.
8. Karunaratne M. Neuro-linguistic programming and application in treatment of phobias. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2010 Nov;16(4):203-7.
9. Konefal J, Duncan RC. Social anxiety and training in neurolinguistic programming. Psychol Rep. 1998 Dec;83(3 Pt 1):1115-22.
10. Biswal R, Prusty B. Trends in neuro-linguistic programming (NLP): a critical review. Social Science International. 2011;27(1):41-56.
11. Steinbach AM. Neurolinguistic programming: a systematic approach to change. Can Fam Physician [Internet]. 1984 Jan [cited 2014 Oct 6];30:147-50. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2153995>
12. Brouwers M, Kho ME, Browman GP, Burgers JS, Cluzeau F, Feder G, et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in healthcare. CMAJ [Internet]. 2010 Dec [cited 2014 Oct 3];182(18):E839-E842. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3001530/pdf/182e839.pdf>

13. Non-pharmaceutical management of depression in adults: a national clinical guideline [Internet]. Edinburgh (UK): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; 2010 Jan. [cited 2014 Oct 8]. Available from: <http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/sign114.pdf>
14. Sturt J, Ali S, Robertson W, Metcalfe D, Grove A, Bourne C, et al. Neurolinguistic programming: a systematic review of the effects on health outcomes. Br J Gen Pract [Internet]. 2012 Nov [cited 2014 Oct 6];62(604):e757-e764. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3481516>
15. Witkowski T. A review of research findings on neuro-linguistic programming. The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice: Objective Investigations of Controversial and Unorthodox Claims in Clinical Psychology, Psychiatry, and Social Work. 2012;9(1):29-40.
16. Roderique-Davies G. Neuro-linguistic programming: cargo cult psychology? Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education. 2009;1(2):58-63.
17. Krugman M, Kirsch I, Wickless C, Milling L, Golicz H, Toth A. Neuro-linguistic programming treatment for anxiety: magic or myth? J Consult Clin Psychol. 1985 Aug;53(4):526-30.
18. Wake L. A critical review of NLP as an effective treatment choice of psychotherapeutic change in individuals with depression or anxiety [Internet]. Hemel Hempstead (UK): The Association for NLP; [2007?]. [cited 2014 Oct 9]. Available from: http://www.anlp.org/files/a-critical-review-of-nlp-as-an-effective-for-individuals-with-depression-or-anxiety-lisa-wake_6_176.pdf
19. Rogers S. Neurolinguistic programming treatment of combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder [dissertation]. [Logan (UT)]: Utah State U; 1993.

Appendix 1: Selection of Included Studies



Appendix 2: Summary of Critical Appraisal of Included Study

Table A2: Summary of Critical Appraisal of Included Study		
First Author, Publication Year	Strengths	Limitations
Critical appraisal of included guideline (AGREE ¹²)		
SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network), ¹³ 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>scope and purpose of the guidelines are clear</i> • <i>method for searching for and selecting the evidence is clear</i> • <i>methods used for formulating the recommendations are clear</i> • <i>patients' views and preferences were sought</i> • <i>target users of the guideline are clearly defined</i> • <i>guideline was piloted among target users</i> • <i>recommendations are specific and unambiguous</i> • <i>health benefits, side effects and risks were stated in the recommendations</i> • <i>level of evidence graded</i> • <i>procedure for updating the guidelines were clear</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>potential cost implications of applying the recommendation not included</i>

Appendix 3: Main Study Findings and Authors' Conclusions

Table A3: Main Study Findings and Authors' Conclusions		
First Author, Publication Year	Main Study Findings	Authors' Conclusions
Research question 1 (clinical effectiveness of NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression)		
The literature search did not find clinical evidence on NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression		
Research question 2 (guidelines associated with the use of NLP for the treatment of adults with PTSD, GAD, or depression)		
The literature search did not identify guidelines on NLP for the treatment of PTSD and GAD. The guideline below is on NLP for the treatment of depression		
SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network), ¹³ 2010	<i>"No evidence specific to depression and meeting the guideline inclusion criteria was identified on the use of art therapy, cognitive behavioural analysis system of psychotherapy (CBASP) cognitive analytic therapy, eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing or neurolinguistic programming" (p 8)</i>	