QUADAS – Quality Assessment Tool for Reliability Diagnosis papers

| | Yes | No | Unclear |
|--|-----|----|---------|
| 1. Were study patients representative of the patients who will receive | | | |
| the test(s) in practice? | | | |
| 2. Were selection criteria for patients clearly described? | | | |
| 3. Were correct statistical measures used? | | | |
| 4. Was execution of test and comparator described in sufficient detail | | | |
| to permit replication in another study? | | | |
| 5. Were withdrawals from the study explained? | | | |
| 6. Were intermediate results/incomplete data reported? | | | |
| 7. Did assessors have adequate professional training to perform | | | |
| test/measurement? | | | |
| 8. How were raters selected? | | | |
| 9. Was interval between test-retest appropriate? | | | |
| 10. Did independent ratings take place within a time frame that would | | | |
| ensure the condition did not change? | | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| | | | |