Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Devulder, 1999	RCT	Belgium Single center Pain clinic	20-70 years of age; persistent pain following spinal surgery for disc herniation; EMG showing chronic nerve pathology without acute irritation; pronounced nerve fibrosis on epidurogram and MRI (considered primary source of pain and neurophysiological abnormalities); 1-2 pathologic nerve roots; duration not specified	herniation; spinal stenosis	20 vs. 20) Analyzed: 60	A: Transforaminal epidural injection to nerve root sleeve with 40 mg methylprednisolone, 0.5% bupivacaine (1 ml) (total 2 ml) (n=20) B: Transforaminal epidural injection to nerve root sleeve with 40 mg methylprednisolone, 1,500 U hyaluronidase, and 0.5% bupivacaine (1 ml) (total 2 ml) (n=20) C: Transforaminal epidural injection to nerve root sleeve with 1,500 U hyaluronidase and 0.5% bupivacaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (total 2 ml) (n=20)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Devulder, 1999	A vs. B vs. C: Age (mean): 48 vs. 47 vs. 44 years Male: 50% vs. 40% vs. 30% Race: Not reported Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Surgery for disc herniation Treatment following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number of injections: Two injections 1 week apart	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in nerve root sleeve	Transforaminal

Author, Year Title	Results (acute and sub-acute, or chronic, or mixed)	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Devulder, 1999	A vs. B vs. C <u>Pain</u> Pain improved >50%: 40% (8/20) vs. 35% (7/20) vs. 35% (7/20) at 1 month (p=0.71), 40% (8/20) vs. 25% (5/20) vs. 25% (5/20) at 3 months (p=0.69), 35% (7/20) vs. 20% (4/20) vs. 25% (5/20) at 6 months (p=0.66)	6 months	Not reported	Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Manchikanti, 2012	RCT	United States Single center Pain clinic	or without lower extremity pain; no	or acute/chronic medical illness; pregnant or lactating;	at 12 months in preliminary analysis, including 35 (33 vs. 2)	A: Caudal epidural injection with 6 mg betamethasone, 2% lidocaine (5 ml), normal saline (6 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=60) B: Caudal epidural adhesiolysis with 6 mg betamethasone, 2% lidocaine (5 ml), and hypertonic (10%) saline (6 ml) (n=60)

Author, Year Title Subj	ject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Manchikanti, A vs. B. 2012 Age (me Male: 42 Duration (months Baseline vs. 8.1	ean): 52 vs. 52 years 2% vs. 42% n of symptoms s): 186 vs. 196 e pain (0-10 NRS): 7.9	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified	Number and frequency of		Caudal

Author, Year Title Manchikanti,	Results (acute and sub-acute, or chronic, or mixed)	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
	Pain Pain scores (0-10): 7.9 vs. 8.1 at baseline (p=0.22), 4.9 vs. 3.4 at 3 months (p<0.0005), 5.8 vs. 3.7 at 6 months (p<0.0005), 6.1 vs. 4.0 at 12 months (p<0.0005), 6.2 vs. 3.6 at 24 months Pain relief >50%: 35% (21/60) vs. 90% (54/60) at 3 months; 18% (11/60) vs. 85% (51/60) at 6 months; 12% (7/60) vs. 73% (44/60) at 12 months Function ODI (0-50): 29 vs. 31 at baseline (p=0.001), 20 vs. 15 at 3 months (p<0.0005), 22 vs. 15 at 6 months (p<0.0005), 23 vs. 16 at 12 months (p<0.0005), 23 vs. 14 at 24 months ODI improved >40%: 37% (22/60) vs. 92% (55/60) at 3 months; 25% (15/60) vs. 88% (53/60) at 6 months; 13% (8/60) vs. 77% (46/60) at 12 months Global Assessment Success (pain relief >=50% and ODI improved ≥50%): 23% (14/60) vs. 78% (47/60) at 3 months, 7% (4/60) vs. 73% (44/60) at 6 months, 5% (3/60) vs. 70% (42/60) at 12 months, 5% (3/60) vs. 70% (42/60) at 12 months, 5% (3/60) vs. 82% (49/60) at 24 months		62% (43/60) vs. 3% (2/60) were unblinded and did not complete trial at 1 year; 87% (52/60) and 10% (6/60) at 2 years		events noted"		
	Other Outcomes Opioid intake (mg MED/day): 41 vs. 64 at baseline (p=0.001), 42 vs. 42 at 3 months (p=0.67), 47 vs. 49 at 6 months (p=0.71), 40 vs. 41 at 12 months (p=0.72)						

Author, Year Title Meadeb, 2001	Study Design RCT	Country Setting France Multicenter Rheumatology clinic	Inclusion Criteria 18 to 75 years of age; postoperative sciatica with or without low back pain; duration not specified; imaging findings not required though nerve root compression by residual disc tissue or lumbar spinal stenosis or of a nondegenerative disease on CT or MRI included as an exclusion criterion	Exclusion Criteria Clotting disorders; skin lesion at injection site; hypersensitivity to iodine	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled) Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 58 Analyzed: 47 (16 vs.16 vs. 15) at 120 days	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Caudal epidural injection with 125 mg prednisolone acetate, with fluoroscopic guidance (n=16) B: Forceful caudal epidural injection with saline (20 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=16) C: Forceful caudal epidural injection with saline (20 ml) plus 125 mg prednisolone acetate, with fluoroscopic guidance (n=15)
Rahimzadeh, 2014	RCT	Iran Single center Pain clinic	Patients ages 20-75 years old suffering from persistent (>6 months) back pain following laminectomy for spinal canal stenosis and/or discectomy for herniated nucleus pulposus documented by MRI (Failed back surgery syndrome defined as pain and or disability following laminectomy with or without sensory-motor neurological deficits or any form of urinary or bowel incontinence for at least 6 months)	Sacroiliac joint disease, facet joint arthritis, severe cardiopulmonary disease, uncontrolled diabetes, morbid obesity, addiction, infection, and coagulation disorders that prohibited lumber epidural injections	Approached: 33 Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 25 Analyzed: 25	A. Transforaminal lumbar epidural injection of bupivacaine 5 mg (1 mL) + triamcinolone 40 mg (1 mL) + saline solution 10% (2 mL) + hyaluronidase 1500 IU reconstituted in 1 mL distilled water (n=12) B. Transforaminal lumbar epidural injection of bupivacaine 5 mg (1 mL) + triamcinolone 40 mg (1 mL) + saline solution 10% (2 mL) + 1 mL distilled water (n=13)

Author, Year Title Meadeb, 2001	Subject Characteristics A vs. B vs. C: Age (mean): 43 vs. 47 vs. 45 years Male: 44% vs. 50% vs. 27% Duration of symptoms (months): 31 vs. 35 vs. 20 Baseline pain (0-100 VAS): 55 vs. 70 vs. 60 Dallas ADL (0-100: 66 vs. 71 vs. 61)	Discectomy, time since surgery 38 vs. 43 vs. 34 months; prior epidural steroid injection 12/15 vs. 12/14	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level (caudal) Provider experience: Not reported	Imaging Guidance Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space	
Rahimzadeh, 2014	A vs. B: Age (mean): 46 vs. 48 years Male: 58% vs. 54% Duration of symptoms (months): 7 vs. 8 Baseline pain (0-10 VAS): 3.1 vs. 3.4	Treatments prior to intervention: Laminectomy for spinal canal stenosis and/or discectomy for herniated nucleus pulposus	Number and frequency of injections: 1 Number of levels: Not reported Provider experience: Interventional pain specialist	Fluoroscopic guidance	Epidural injection with hyaluronidase

Author, Year Title Meadeb, 2001	Results (acute and sub-acute, or chronic, or mixed) A vs. B vs. C	Duration of Followup 120 days	Followup	Compliance to Treatment Appears	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events A vs. B vs. C:	Sponsor French	Quality Rating
Meades, 2001	Pain Pain (mean, 0-100 VAS): 55 vs. 70 vs. 60 at baseline; 48 vs. 66 vs. 58 at 30 days; 53 vs. 62 vs. 52 at 60 days; 45 vs. 60 vs. 58 at 120 days Pain improved >=15%: 25% (4/16) vs. 44% (7/16) vs. 20% (3/215) at 120 days Function Dallas ADL (mean, 0-100 VAS): 66 vs. 71 vs. 61 at baseline; 58 vs. 69 vs. 62 at 30 days; 60 vs. 68 vs. 60 at 60 days; 58 vs. 67 vs. 65 at 120 days	120 days		complete	Pain induced by	Society for Rheumatology	1 301
Rahimzadeh, 2014	A vs. B Pain VAS (median IQR, 0-10): 0 vs. 0 at baseline, 1 vs. 1 at week 1, 1 vs. 1.5 at week 2, 1.5 vs. 2.5 at week 4 (p<0.001 at week 4) % patients with >50% decrease in numerical rating of pain score (NRS): 100% (12/12) vs. 100% (13/13) at baseline, 92% (11/12) vs. 77% (10/13) at week 1, 92% (11/12) vs. 54% (7/13) at week 2, 83% (10/12) vs. 46% (6/13) at week 4	4 weeks	Not reported		A vs. B Experienced any adverse event (specifically monitored for development of inadvertent subarachnoid injection, prolonged sensory- motor block, long- term weakness of the limbs, epidural hematoma, infection, bladder dysfunction, and arachnoiditis): 0% vs. 0%	No external funding	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Rocco, 1989	RCT	USA Single center Pain clinic	Prior laminectomy, still symptomatic; duration not specified; imaging findings not specified	Not reported	Randomized: 24 Analyzed: 22 (8 vs. 7 vs. 7) at 6 months	A: Epidural injection with 75 mg triamcinolone diacetate (1.9 ml) plus 5% lidocaine (2 ml) and normal saline (8 ml) (n=8) B: Epidural injection with 8 mg morphine (8 ml) plus 5% lidocaine (2 ml) (n=7) C: Epidural injection with 75 mg triamcinolone diacetate (1.9 ml) and 8 mg morphine (8 ml) plus 5% lidocaine (2 ml) (n=7)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Rocco, 1989	Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Laminectomies 2.1 vs. 2.4 vs. 2.1; epidural steroid 4 vs. 4 vs. 4 Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Primary diagnosis epiduroarachnoiditis: 75% vs. 71% vs. 71%	Number and frequency of injections: Up to 3 injections at 1 month intervals; 62^ vs. 67% vs. 86% received 3 blocks Number of levels: Not specified Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Epidural injection with morphine or morphine plus corticosteroid

Author, Year Title	Results (acute and sub-acute, or chronic, or mixed)	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Rocco, 1989	A vs. B vs. C Pain Pain (mean, 0-10 VAS): 6.4 vs. 4.0 vs. 5.0 at baseline; 4.2 vs. 5.7 vs. 5.8 at 6 months (p>0.05); Pain improved: better, no change, worse, based on number of injections: 12% (1/8) vs. 0% (0/7) vs. 0% (0/7) at 6 months		A vs. B vs. C: 8.3% (2/24) lost to followup or inadvertant subarachnoid injection (1)		A vs. B vs. C: Required naloxone: 0% vs. 0% vs. 43% (3/7) Urinary retention: 0% (0/8) vs. 14% (1/7) vs. 71% (5/7) Nausea and vomiting: 12% (1/8) vs. 71% (5/7) vs. 57% (4/7) Pruritus: 12% (1/8) vs. 57% (4/7) vs. 57% (4/7)	Not reported	Fair

ADL=Activities of Daily Living; AE=adverse event; CT=computerized tomography; d=day; EMG=electromyogram; m=month; MED=minimal effective dose; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; n=number; NRS=numerical rating scale; ODI=Oswestry Disability Index; p=p value; RCT=randomized controlled trial; VAS=visual analog scale

Please see Appendix C. Included Studies for full study references.