|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Author, Year** | **Did study attempt to enroll all (or a random sample of) patients meeting inclusion criteria, or a random sample (inception cohort)?** | **Were groups comparable at baseline on key prognostic factors (by restriction or matching)?** | **Did study maintain comparable groups through the study period?** | **Did study use accurate methods for ascertaining exposures and potential confounders?** | **Were outcome assessors and/or data analysts blinded to the exposure being studied?** | **Did article report attrition?** | **Did study perform appropriate statistical analyses on potential confounders?** | **Is there important differential loss to followup or overall high loss to followup?** | **Were outcomes prespecified, defined, and ascertained using accurate methods?** | **Quality rating** |
| Hernando et al, 2009118 | Yes | Yes | No; participants who didn't return for second visit older, in longer relationships, used condoms less, fewer noninjection drug users, more ART use | Yes | Unclear | Not relevant | Yes | Not relevant | Yes | Fair |