	Author, Year	Study			Mean Length		-
Comparison	Trial name	Quality	N Randomized	Country	of FU, y	Intervention	Control
Screening vs.	Ashton, 2007 ¹¹³	Fair	15,382	UK	15.0 (Men	Ultrasound screening; patients with an	Surveillance
no screening	(Men only) & Scott,				only)	aneurysm of 3.0-4.4 cm diameter were	
	2002 ³⁶ (Women		Men: 6,040			rescanned annually and those with an	
	only)		Women: 9,342		10 (Women	aneurysm of 4.5–5.9 cm diameter were	
					only)	rescanned every 3 months. This was	
	Chichester					continued until February 1994 or until the	
						patient died, underwent surgical	
						intervention, or declined followup.	
	Thompson, 2012 ^{12,}	Good	67,770	UK	13.1	Ultrasound screening; patients with an	Surveillance
	170					aortic diameter of 3.0-4.4 cm were	
						rescanned yearly. Those with an aortic	
	MASS					diameter of 4.5–5.4 cm were rescanned at	
						3-month intervals. Urgent referral to a	
						vascular surgeon was recommended for	
						patients with aortic diameter ≥5.5 cm. QOL	
						was assessed in patients with screen-	
						detected AAA and those with normal scans	
						at 1.5, 3, and 12 months (n=1,956). ¹²	
	Lindholt, 2010 ¹⁴⁷	Good	12,639	Denmark	13	Ultrasound screening; participants with	Surveillance
						aneurysms ≥5 cm were referred to a	
	Viborg					vascular surgeon; those with AAAs 3-4.9	
						cm were offered annual scans to check for	
						expansion. After 5 years those with initial	
						ectatic aorta (diameter 2.5–2.9 cm) were	
						offered rescreening.	
	Lindholt, 2017 ¹⁴⁶	Fair	50,156	Denmark	4.4*	Ultrasound screening; patients with	Surveillance
						aneurysms ≥5 cm were referred to CT	
	VIVA		(Screening			scanning and assessment by a vascular	
			group			surgeon for repair. Participants were invited	
			n=25,078)			to one annual clinical followup, which	
						consisted of ultrasound screening. Person	
						identification numbers were used to search	
						the Danish Vascular Registry for vascular	
						procedures.	
						ABI screening; participants with possible	
						hypertension alone encouraged to consult	
						with general practitioner for confirmation of	

Appendix E Table 1. Methodological and Intervention Characteristics of Included One-Time Screening Studies (KQs 1 and 3)

	Author, Year	Study			Mean Length		
Comparison	Trial name	Quality	N Randomized	Country	of FU, y	Intervention	Control
		duniy				diagnosis, initiation of prophylactic activities, or both. Blood total cholesterol measurement if diagnosis of AAA or PAD was confirmed with repeated ultrasonography and ABI measurement. If total serum cholesterol concentration exceeded 4.0 mmol/L, participant prescribed statin therapy (40 mg/day simvastatin) and aspirin (75 mg/day). All positive findings and initiated medications communicated to general practitioner to ensure medication	
	McCaul, 2016 ^{15, 168} Western Australia	Fair	38,480	Australia	12.8*	continuation and followup. Ultrasound screening [†] ; QOL (SF-36, EuroQOL EQ-5D) was assessed 12 months after screening (n=365).	Surveillance
Screening harms	Lesjak, 2012 ¹⁴¹	Fair	NR [‡]	Australia	6 mo	At the time of time of screening, self- administered questionnaires were completed including the Medical Outcomes Short Form 36v.2 (MOSF36). Six months after screening, all participants who had an abnormal aortic diameter (\geq 2.6 cm) were followed up and completed MOSF36 questionnaires (n=53).	A random sample of men with normal scans were followed up 6 months after screening (n=130).
	Lucarotti, 1997 ¹⁵⁰	Fair	NR	UK	1 mo	Men invited to screening filled out the QOL questionnaire (General Health Questionnaire; linear analogue scale) prior to screening. One month after initial screening, the first 61 men with diagnosed AAA (definition NR) were asked to complete the QOL assessment again (n=61).	Men invited to screening filled out the QOL questionnaire (General Health Questionnaire; linear analogue scale) prior to screening. One month after initial screening, the first 100 men with normal scans were asked to complete the QOL assessment again (n=100).

Comparison	Author, Year Trial name	Study Quality	N Randomized	Country	Mean Length of FU, y	Intervention	Control
	Wanhainen, 2004 ¹⁷⁴	Fair	NR	Sweden	1.0	Participants were given a QOL assessment questionnaire (SF-36) at baseline and then 12 months after screening. A cohort of participants with screen-detected AAA were followed (n=24).	Participants were given a QOL assessment questionnaire (SF-36) at baseline and 12 months after screening. A cohort of age-/sex-matched controls with normal AAA scans were followed (n=45).

*Median.

[†]After screening, participants were given a letter containing the results of their scan and a copy for their primary care physician. Any followup investigations or referral to a surgeon were arranged by the primary care physician. No attempt was made by investigators to influence clinical management with regards to threshold for intervention or method of repair.

⁺53 men completed the questionnaire (out of 516).

Abbreviations: AA = abdominal a ortic aneurysm; EQ-5D = EuroQOL-5D; MASS = Multicenter Aneurysm Screening Study; QOL = quality of life; SF-36 = Short-form 36-item Health Survey; NR = not reported.

Comparison	Author, Year Trial Name	Major Inclusion Criteria	Mean Age % Female	% Current Smoking	% Family History	% Diabetes	% CVD Risk Factors
Screening vs. no screening	Ashton, 2007 ¹¹³ (Men only) & Scott, 2002 ³⁶ (Women only) Chichester	Patients ages 65–80 years	72.0* 59.2	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Thompson, 2012 ¹⁷⁰ MASS	Men ages 65–74 years	69.2 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Lindholt, 2010 ¹⁴⁷ Viborg	Men ages 64–73 years who lived in Viborg County	67.7 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Lindholt, 2017 ¹⁴⁶ VIVA	Men ages 65-74 years living in Central Denmark	69.0* 0	NR	NR	NR	History of, %: Stroke: 3.0 MI: 2.7 Ischemic heart disease: 6.6 Peripheral occlusive arterial disease: 1.1
	McCaul, 2016 ¹⁵ Western Australia	Men ages 64–83 years living in Perth and surrounding towns	72.6 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Screening harms	Lesjak, 2012 ¹⁴¹	Rural men ages 65-74 years who attended a community- based screening for AAA	NR 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Lucarotti, 1997 ¹⁵⁰	Men born between 1925 and 1928 living in Gloucestershire and participating in the AAA screening program	NR 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Wanhainen, 2004 ¹⁷⁴	Men and women ages 65–75 years with screen-detected AAA (\geq 3.0 cm) along with a group of adults with a normal scan to act as controls	71.0 19.4	NR	NR	NR	NR

Appendix E Table 2. Patient Characteristics of Included One-Time Screening Studies (KQs 1 and 3)

*Median.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; MASS = Multicenter Aneurysm Screening Study; NR = not reported.

Author, Year		Total AAA				
Trial Name	Total Scanned	(Prevalence), n (%)	≥5.5 cm, n (%)	5.0 to 5.9 cm, n (%)	4.5 to 5.4 cm, n (%)	3.0 to 4.4 cm, n (%)
Scott, 1995 ¹³	5,394 (men and women)*	218 (4.0)	19 (0.4) [†]	20 (0.4) [†]	NR	179 (3.3) ^{†,‡}
Chichester						
Thompson, 2012 ^{12,} 170	27,147 (men)	1,334 (4.9)	166 (0.6)	NR	223 (0.8)	944 (3.5)
MASS						
Lindholt, 2010 ^{14, 143,} ¹⁴⁷	4,860 (men)	191 (3.9) [§]	24 (0.5)	NR	NR	NR
Viborg						
Lindholt, 2017 ¹⁴⁶	25,078 (men)	619 (3.3)	61 (0.3)	NR	NR	558 (3.0)∥
VIVA						
McCaul, 2016 ^{15, 155}	12,203 (men)	879 (7.2)	61 (0.5)	NR	115 (0.9) [¶]	699 (5.7) [¶]
Western Australia						

Appendix E Table 3. Percent of Screened Population With AAA of the Specified Size

* From 5-year followup (Scott, 1995).¹³

† Estimated.

[‡]AAA of 3.0 to 4.0 cm.

[§] N analyzed for prevalence: 4,816.

AAA of 3.0 to 4.9 cm.

¶ From 3.6-year followup (Norman, 2004).¹⁵⁵

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; MASS = Multicenter Aneurysm Screening Study; NR = not reported.

Study, Year					Mean length of	Measurement	Rescreening intervals; number
Quality	Trial	Ν	N Analyzed	Country	follow up (yrs)	technique	of times rescreened
D'Audiffret, 2002 ¹²¹ Fair	Patients from the ADAM trial	223	223	US	5.9 Range: NR	Aortic measurements were made in both the anteriorposterior and transverse planes and the greatest diameter was	Rescreening annually after aortic diameters of 2.5–2.9 cm were identified 5 repeat scans
						recorded.	
Deveraj, 2008 ¹²³ Fair	Patients from the Good Hope Hospital Screening	999	358	UK	5.4 Range: 1–14 years	Assessed anterioposterior diameter	Rescreening of abnormal aortas (2.6–2.9 cm) annually NR
Oliver-Williams, 2018 ¹⁵⁶ Good	Program Patients from the Gloucestershire Aneurysm Screening Study	80,150	1,233	UK	7.8 Range: 2.7–11 years [†]	Maximum anteroposterior diameter assessed by measurement from the inner wall to the inner wall of the aorta.	Men with small AAA (2.4–4.4 cm had annual ultrasound followup. 6 (3–11) [‡] repeat scans
Lederle, 2000 ¹³⁸ Good	Patients from the ADAM trial	15,098	2,622	US	4 Range: NR	Assessed infrarenal and suprarenal aortic diameter	Rescreening in those found to have no AAA 4 years after initial screening
							1 repeat scan
Lindholt, 2000 ¹⁴⁸ Fair	Case/control study of the Viborg Trial	6,339	248 for 2.5– 2.9 group 275 Control group	Denmark	5 Range: 3–5 yrs	Infrarenal aorta was first visualized anteroposteriorly in its entire length. Its anteroposteriorly and transversely diameters were measured and recorded at their maximal sizes.	Those with aortas 2.5–2.9 cm were offered rescreening 3 to 5 years after initial screen; control group were those with no AAA
Scott, 2001 ¹⁶⁵ Fair	Cohort of 65- year-old men found to have normal aorta	1,011	649	UK	10 Range: NR	Both anteroposterior and transverse measurements of aortic diameter were taken and the maximum of the two measurements was used as the defining diameter.	Individuals with normal-sized aortas at initial scan were rescreened every 2 years. (These patients were NOT Chichester trial participants.) 5 repeat scans

Appendix F Table 4	. Methodological and Interventio	n Characteristics of Included	Rescreening Studies (KQ 2)
	. Methodological and interventio		

Study, Year	Trial	N	N. Analyzad	Country	Mean length of	Measurement	Rescreening intervals; number
Quality	Trial	N	N Analyzed	Country	follow up (yrs)	technique	of times rescreened
Soderberg,	Population-	5,140	2.5–2.9 cm	Sweden	5	The maximum	All women with screen-detected
2017 ¹⁶⁷	based cohort of		group: 33; 26		Range: NR	anteroposterior diameter	subaneurysms with a diameter of
Fair	70-year-old		rescanned		-	was registered according	2.5–2.9 cm were rescanned at 5
	women					to the leading edge to	years.
			≥3.0 cm			leading edge principle.	
			group: 19				1 repeat scan
Svensjo, 2014 ¹⁶⁹ Fair	Population- based cohort of 65-year-old men	3,270	<2.5 cm group: 2,652 2.5–2.9 cm group: 40	Sweden	5 Range: 5 yrs	The maximum anteroposterior diameter of the infrarenal aorta was recorded using the leading edge to leading edge principle.	Individuals with an infrarenal aortic diameter of 2.5–2.9 cm were rescanned after 5 years. 1 repeat scan
			≥3.0 cm group: 44				

*Median.

[†] Duration of followup was calculated for each man as the time from the initial scan to death, or to most recent scan if the individual had not died.

‡ Median (IQR) within.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; ADAM = Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Detection and Management Study; IQR = interquartile range; NR = not reported.

Author, Year Quality	Major Inclusion Criteria	Mean AAA Size	Mean Age % Female	% Current Smoking	% Family History	% Diabetes	% CVD Risk Factors
D'Audiffret, 2002 ¹²¹ Fair	Those with aortic diameters of 2.5–2.9 cm	2.7 cm	68.4 NR	81.6*	13.9	11.2	PAD: 12.5 HTN: 49.8 Hypercholesterolemia: 17.5
Deveraj, 2008 ¹²³ Fair	Men found to have ectatic aortas (2.6– 2.9 cm in diameter) at first scan with a minimum of 1-year followup	2.8 cm	NR 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Oliver-Williams, 2018 ¹⁵⁶ Good	Men ages 65–66 years at the time of original study who had aortic diameters <2.6 cm	 1.7 cm (initial screening in years 2010–2015) 2.1 cm (initial screening in early 1990s) 	65.3 [†] 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Lederle, 2000 ¹³⁸ Good	VA patients ages 50–79 years without AAA (aortic diameters of ≤3.0 cm) who were part of the ADAM trial	2.0 cm	66.0 2.4	14.6	6.0	17.6	HTN: 55.2 High cholesterol: 38.9 CAD: 36.6 Any atherosclerosis: 42.3
Lindholt, 2000 ¹⁴⁸ Fair	Men ages 65–73 years with either identified small AAA (2.5–2.9 cm) or those with a normal initial scan (along with 380 controls)	NR	65.6 0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Scott, 2001 ¹⁶⁵ Fair	Male patients with a normal aorta on their initial scan at age 65 years	NR	65 0	NR	NR	NR	NR

Appendix E Table 5. Baseline Characteristics of Included Rescreening Studies for Small AAA (KQ 2)

Author, Year	Major Inclusion		Mean Age	% Current	% Family		
Quality	Criteria	Mean AAA Size	% Female	Smoking	History	% Diabetes	% CVD Risk Factors
Soderberg, 2017 ¹⁶⁷	All 70-year-old	2.64 for 2.5-2.9	70	36	21 [‡]	NR	Coronary disease: 12
Fair	women identified	cm group	100				HTN: 39
Fall	through the National						Hyperlipidemia: 36
	Population Registry,						Claudication: 9
	in two neighboring						
	counties in Sweden.						
	Women diagnosed	3.52 cm for ≥3.0	70	63	5 [‡]	NR	Coronary disease: 16
	with subaneurysmal	cm group	100				HTN: 68
	aortas (2.5–2.9 cm)						Hyperlipidemia: 47
	were followed.						Claudication: 11
Svensjo, 2014 ¹⁶⁹	2006–2007	1.85	70	NR	NR	NR	NR
Fair	All men age 65		0				
i ali	years identified in						
	the National						
	Population Registry						
	in Uppsala County.						
	Rescanned 2011–						
	2012. Men with a						
	history of AAA repair						
	were excluded from						
	invitation.						

* Defined as smoking history.

† Median.

‡ Family history defined as first-degree relative.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal a ortic aneurysm; CAD = coronary artery disease; CVD = cardiovascular disease; HTN = hypertension; NR = not reported; PAD = peripheral artery disease; VA = Department of Veterans Affairs.

Арренаіх								
	Author, Year	Study			Mean Length	_	_	
Comparison	Trial Name	Quality	N Randomized	Country	of FU, years	Instrument	Group	Quality of Life Data
Screening	Ashton, 2007 ¹¹³	Fair	15,382	UK	15.0 (Men			
vs. no	(Men only) & Scott,				only)			
screening	2002 ³⁶ (Women		Men: 6,040					
C C	only)		Women: 9,342		10 (Women			
	,		,		only)			
	Chichester				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	Thompson,	Good	67,770	UK	13.1	SF-36,	Surgery	3 months
	2012 ^{12, 170}		- , -	_	-	HADS,		Physical Health, mean: 50.0 [‡]
						EQ-5D		Mental Health, mean: 48.4
	MASS					2000		Depression, mean: 3.0 [‡]
	11/1/00							Anxiety, mean: 29.1 [‡]
								Weighted Health Index, mean:
								0.85 [‡]
								0.00
								12 months
								Physical Health. mean: 51.1 [‡]
								Mental Health, mean: 50.6 [‡]
								Depression, mean: 3.1 [‡]
								Anxiety, mean: 28.6 [‡]
								Weighted Health Index, mean:
							0	0.85 [‡]
							Surveillance	3 months
								Physical Health, mean: 51.0 [‡]
								Mental Health, mean: 51.7 I
								Depression, mean: 3.0 [‡]
								Anxiety, mean: 28.9 [‡]
								Weighted Health Index, mean:
								0.83 [‡]
								10
								12 months
								Physical Health, mean: 49.8 [‡]
								Mental Health, mean: 50.1 [‡]
								Depression, mean: 3.2 [‡]
								Anxiety, mean: 29.6 [‡]
								Weighted Health Index, mean:
				_				0.83 [‡]
	Lindholt, 2010 ¹⁴⁷	Good	12,639	Denmark	13			
	. <i>m</i>							
	Viborg							

Appendix E Table 6. Quality of Life Results of Included One-Time Screening Studies (KQs 1 and 3)

_	Author, Year	Study			Mean Length			
Comparison	Trial Name	Quality	N Randomized	Country	of FU, years	Instrument	Group	Quality of Life Data
	Lindholt, 2017 ¹⁴⁶ VIVA	Fair	50,156 (Screening group n=25,078)	Denmark	4.4*			
	McCaul, 2016 ^{15, 168} Western Australia	Fair	38,480	Australia	12.8*	MOS SF-36; HADS, EQ-5D	AAA Group	12 months Physical Functioning, mean (SD): 62.9 (27.4) [∥] Mental Health, mean (SD): 81.3 (15.9) Anxiety/Depression, mean (SD): 3.6 (3.0) Health States Score, mean (SD): 0.83 (0.18)
							CG	12 months Physical Functioning, mean (SD): 68.9 (25.8) [∥] Mental Health, mean (SD): 78.3 (17.7) Anxiety/Depression, mean (SD): 3.6 (3.2) Health States Score, mean (SD): 0.80 (0.21)
Screening harms	Lesjak, 2012 ¹⁴¹	Fair	NR [‡]	Australia	6 month	MOS SF-36, HADS	AAA Group	Physical Functioning Prescreening score, mean (SD): 40.4 (10.7) Postscreening score, mean (SD): 41.1 (11.7) Mental Health Prescreening score, mean (SD): 49.6 (11.1) Postscreening score, mean (SD): 49.8 (11.9) Depression
								Prescreening score, mean (SD): 5.1 (4.1) Postscreening score, mean (SD): 5.5 (4.6)

	Author, Year	Study			Mean Length			
Comparison	Trial Name	Quality	N Randomized	Country	of FU, years	Instrument	Group	Quality of Life Data
								Anxiety Prescreening score, mean (SD): 5.1 (3.9) Postscreening score, mean (SD): 5.9 (4.9)
							CG	Physical Functioning Prescreening score, mean (SD):41.3 (11.7) Postscreening score, mean (SD):44.3 (10.2)Mental Health Prescreening score, mean (SD):51.6 (10.5) Postscreening score, mean (SD):51.8 (10.7)Depression
								Prescreening score, mean (SD): 4.2 (3.3) Postscreening score, mean (SD): 4.1 (3.6) <i>Anxiety</i> Prescreening score, mean (SD): 5.3 (3.8)
	Lucarotti, 1997 ¹⁵⁰	Fair	NR	UK	1 month	GHQ	AAA Group	Postscreening score, mean (SD): 4.8 (3.7) Prescreening score, mean (SD): 15.71 (9.13)‡ Postscreening score, mean (SD): 14.25 (7.68)‡
							CG	Prescreening score, mean (SD): 15.51 (9.17)‡ Postscreening score, mean (SD): 14.36 (7.28)‡
	Wanhainen, 2004 ¹⁷⁴	Fair	NR	Sweden	1.0	SF-36	AAA Group	Physical Health Cluster Mean score before screening: 43 [‡] Mean score after screening: 43 [‡]

	Author, Year	Study			Mean Length			
Comparison	Trial Name	Quality	N Randomized	Country	of FU, years	Instrument	Group	Quality of Life Data
								Mental Health Cluster
								Mean score before screening: 52
								Mean score after screening: 49
							CG	Physical Health Cluster
								Mean score before screening: 46 [‡]
								Mean score after screening: 44 [‡]
								Mental Health Cluster
								Mean score before screening: 51 [‡]
								Mean score after screening: 52*

* Median.

 $^{\dagger}\,53$ men completed the questionnaire (out of 516).

 \ddagger Between group: p = NS.

§ Within group: p = NS.

∥p<0.05.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal a ortic aneurysm; CG = Control group; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life; GHQ = General Health Questionnaire; HADS = Hospital Anxiety & Depression Scale; MASS = Multicenter Aneurysm Screening Study; MOS SF-36 = Medical Outcomes Short Form-36; NR = not reported; SD = standard deviation; SF-36 = Short Form-36; UK = United Kingdom.

			N		Mean followup,		
Intervention	Study, Year	Quality	randomized	Country	years	Intervention	Control
Open surgery vs. surveillance	Lederle, 2002 ¹⁴⁰ ADAM	Good	1,136	United States	4.9	Elective open surgery within 6 weeks of AAA identification	Surveillance until AAA reached 5.5 cm, enlarged by at least 0.7 cm in 6 months/1.0 cm in 1 year, or symptoms developed
	Powell, 2007 ¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³ UKSAT	Good	1,090	United Kingdom	12	Elective open surgery within 3 months of AAA identification	Surveillance until AAA reached 5.5 cm, rapidly increased in diameter (>1 cm/year) or developed symptoms
EVAR vs. surveillance	Cao, 2011 ¹¹⁸ CAESAR	Fair	360	20 European/Western Asian hospitals	2.6 [‡]	Patients received surgery via EVAR as soon as possible	Surveillance until AAA reached 5.5 cm in diameter, a rapid increase of >1 cm/year was found, or the aneurysm became symptomatic
	Ouriel, 2010 ¹⁵⁸ PIVOTAL	Fair	728	United States	1.7	Patients underwent EVAR ≤30 days of randomization	Surveillance until AAA reached 5.5 cm or enlarged ≥0.5 cm between any two 6- month assessments
Pharmacotherapy vs. placebo	Bicknell, 2016 ¹¹⁴ AARDVARK	Good	227	United Kingdom	2	10 mg perindopril (IG1) or 5 mg amlodipine (IG2) daily for 2 years	Placebo
	Hogh 2009 ¹³²	Good	92	Denmark	5	300 mg oral roxithromycin once daily for 28 days	Placebo
	Karlsson, 2009 ¹³³	Fair	247	Sweden	1.5	600 mg azithromycin once daily for 3 days, followed by 600 mg once a week for 15 weeks	Placebo
	Lindholt, 1999 ¹⁴²	Fair	54	Denmark	2	40 mg propranolol twice a day for 2 years	Placebo
	Meijer, 2013 ¹⁵²	Fair	286	The Netherlands	1.5	100 mg doxycycline daily for 18 months	Placebo

Appendix E Table 7. Methodological and Intervention Characteristics of Included Treatment Studies (KQ	s 4 and 5)

			N		Mean followup,		
Intervention	Study, Year	Quality	randomized	Country	years	Intervention	Control
	Mosorin, 2001 ¹⁵³	Fair	32	Finland	1.5	150 mg doxycycline daily for 3 months	Placebo
	PAT Investigators, 2002 ¹⁶⁴ PAT	Good	552	Canada	2.5	20 mg propranolol twice a day; increased to 40 mg after 1 week, 80 mg after 2 weeks, and 120 mg at 4 weeks. Target dose was 80–120 mg twice a day. Patients observed for mean of 2.5 years	
	Sillensen, 2015 ¹⁶⁶ AORTA	Fair	168	Multisite [¶]	1	40 mg pemirolast twice a day [#] for 52 weeks	Placebo

* No AAA-related death was found in both groups.

[†] This study also reported 5-year followup data on growth rate.

‡ Median.

§ Due to a large loss to followup, efficacy data were not usable. However, these losses were due to adverse events so the harms data are included.

This study is included for KQ5 (harms) only.

[¶]15 sites participated from Sweden, Denmark, and the United Kingdom.

[#]Study also reports 10 mg twice a day and 25 mg twice a day.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; ADAM = Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Detection and Management Study; AORTA: the Anti-inflammatory Oral Treatment of AAA; CAESAR = Comparison of Surveillance vs. Aortic Endografting for Small Aneurysm Repair; N = sample size; NA = not applicable; EUROSTAR = European Collaborators on Stent-Graft Techniques for aAbdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair; PAT = Propanolol Aneurysm Trial; PIVOTAL = Positive Impact of Endovascular Options for Treating Aneurysms Early; UKSAT = UK Small Aneurysm Trial.

la temperation.	Ourselan Maran	Malas Inclusion Onitaria	Mean Age	AAA Diameter	% Current	% Family	
Intervention	Study, Year	Major Inclusion Criteria	% Female	at Baseline, cm	Smoking	History	% CVD Risk Factors
Open surgery vs. surveillance	Lederle, 2002 ¹⁴⁰	Patients ages 50–79 years with AAA 4.0– 5.4 cm identified via CT	68.1 0.8	4.7	39.2	12.9	Coronary disease: 41.9 Cerebrovascular disease: 12.4 Hypertension: 56.4
	ADAM	within the previous 12 weeks					
	Powell, 2007 ¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³	Patients ages 60–76 years with asymptomatic, small AAA (4.0–5.5 cm)	69.3 17.5	4.6	37.1	NR	Hypertension: 39 Probable ischemic heart disease: 14
	UKSAT						
EVAR vs. surveillance	Cao, 2011 ¹¹⁸	Patients ages 50–79 years; nonsymptomatic	68.9 4.2	4.7	55.3	NR	Coronary disease: 39.2 Hypertension: 75.3
surveillance	CAESAR	AAA 4.1–5.4 cm in diameter measured by CT within the previous 3 months					
	Ouriel, 2010 ¹⁵⁸	Patients ages 40–90 years with AAA between	70.5 13.4	4.4	91.0	23.5	MI: 31.3 CHF: 6.2
	PIVOTAL	4.0 and 5.0 cm found by CT performed ≤3 months prior; eligible for EVAR	10.4				CAD: 55.4 PVD: 28.2 Hypertension: 77.8
Pharmacotherapy vs. surveillance	Hogh 2009 ¹³²	AAA ≥3.0 cm detected by ultrasound the day of study entry; exclusively men	72.5 0	3.8	59.5	NR	NR
	Karlsson, 2009 ¹³³	Patents aged ≤80 years with AAA 3.5–4.9 cm	71 [†] 18.5	NR	40	14	MI: 31.0 Stroke: 14.1 Hypertension: 62.5
	Lindholt, 1999 ¹⁴²	Men with AAA 3.0–4.9 cm	69.2 0	3.4	NR	NR	NR
	Meijer, 2013 ¹⁵²	Aneurysm diameter 3.5– 5.0 cm, or a larger aneurysm unfit for repair, or declined repair	70.0 18.2	4.3	35.0	25.2	History of CVD: 52.1
	Mosorin, 2001 ¹⁵³	Aneurysm diameter perpendicular to the aortic axis of ≥3.0 cm in size or a ratio of	68.4 9.4	3.3	35.4	NR	Hypertension: 40.2

Appendix E Table 8. Patient Characteristics of Included Treatment Studies (KG	Qs 4 and 5)
---	-------------

In terms of term	Olivetia Maar	Maine Inclusion Onitaria	Mean Age	AAA Diameter	% Current	% Family	
Intervention	Study, Year	Major Inclusion Criteria infrarenal to suprarenal aortic diameter of ≥1.2 and a diameter <5.5 cm; followup of at least 6 months with two or more ultrasound examinations	% Female	at Baseline, cm	Smoking	History	% CVD Risk Factors
	PAT Investigators, 2002 ¹⁶⁴ PAT	Asymptomatic small AAA (3.0–5.0 cm; some centers only, 3.0–4.5 cm) measured by ultrasound; no contraindications to study drug	68.9 16	3.8	34.7	NR	Angina: 14.8 Heart failure: 2.0 Claudication: 19.2 Hyperlipidemia: 33.6 Hypertension: 35.8 Ml: 16.9 Stroke: 6.3
	Bicknell, 2016 ¹¹⁴ AARDVARK	Men or women age ≥55 years, with AAA 3.0–5.4 cm, and an SBP <150 mm Hg	71.3 5.8	4.0	25.0	NR	Hypertension: 0
	Sillensen, 2015 ¹⁶⁶ AORTA	Patients age ≥50 years with AAA 3.9–4.9 cm	70.9 8.9	4.4	41.1	NR	History of cardiac disorders: IG: 38.0 CG: 42.0

*Defined as angina, MI, arrhythmia, or heart failure.

†Median.

‡Mean.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; ADAM = Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Detection and Management Study; AORTA: the Anti-inflammatory Oral Treatment of AAA; CAD = coronary artery disease; CAESAR = Comparison of Surveillance Versus Aortic Endografting for Small Aneurysm Repair; CHF = congestive heart failure; CT = computed tomography; CVD = cardiovascular disease; EUROSTAR = European Collaborators on Stent-Graft Techniques for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair; EVAR = endovascular aneurysm repair; MI = myocardial infarction; NR = not reported; PAT = Propranolol Aneurysm Trial; PIVOTAL = Positive Impact of Endovascular Options for Treating Aneurysms Early; PVD = peripheral vascular disease; UKSAT = UK Small Aneurysm Trial.

Author, Year Quality	Registry	Country	Recruitment	Mean Followup, years	Surgical Technique(s) Included	Population Characteristics in Patients With Small AAA	N (%) of Small AAA	Definition of Small AAA
Budtz-Lilly, 2017 ¹¹⁶ Fair	Vascunet	International*	Data on primary intact AAA repairs were collected from vascular registries for the time period of 2005–2013. Data on small AAA <5.5 cm available for <u>2010–</u> <u>2013</u> time period. It was estimated that coverage of participating registries was >90% for the majority, 80% in Norway, and 62% in Australia.	NR	EVAR, open	Mean age (range): NR % Female: NR % Smokers: NR	12,610 (25.6)	<5.5 cm
Golledge, 2007 ¹²⁹ Fair	ASERNIP-S	Australia	Surgeries performed from <u>November 1999</u> to May 2001 were recorded in the registry. Participation by vascular surgeons was initially enforced. An audit cross checking Health Insurance Commission data found >90% of procedures were included.	3.2 (Median)	EVAR	Mean age (range): 75 (NR) % Female: 15.9 % Current smokers: 11.0	478 (49.7)	≤5.5 cm

Appendix E Table 9. Methodological	Characteristics of Included Registry Studies (KQ5)

Author, Year Quality	Registry	Country	Recruitment	Mean Followup, years	Surgical Technique(s) Included	Population Characteristics in Patients With Small AAA	N (%) of Small AAA	Definition of Small AAA
Lo, 2013 ¹⁴⁹ Fair	VSGNE	US	Voluntary collaboration among vascular surgeons, cardiologists, and radiologists from 30 academic and community hospitals in New England. The data are validated periodically to ensure that all procedures are included in the registry. This publication analyzed 2003–2011 data.		EVAR, open	Mean age (range): 71 (NR) % Female: 26.2 % Smokers (past or current): 88.5	1,336 (37.1)	<5.5 cm
Overbey, 2017 ¹⁵⁹ Fair	ACS NSQIP	US	A nationally validated, risk- adjusted dataset comprising major surgical procedures and 30-day outcomes. Data are collected from medical charts by a trained Surgical Clinical Reviewer. This article is analysis of <u>2011–</u> <u>2015</u> data.	NR	EVAR, open	Mean age (range): 72.3 (NR) % Female: 21.9 % Current smokers: 33.6	5,126 (51.1)	Smallest quartile: 3.5–5 cm Second quartile: 5.01–5.5 cm

Author, Year Quality	Registry	Country	Recruitment	Mean Followup, years	Surgical Technique(s) Included	Population Characteristics in Patients With Small AAA	N (%) of Small AAA	Definition of Small AAA
Peppelenbosch,	EUROSTAR	International [†]	110 European	1.7	EVAR	Mean age (range):	1,962 (44.7)	4.0-5.4
2004 ¹⁶⁰			institutions participate			69.7 (43–94)		cm
			in the registry. Patient			% Female: 7.0		
Fair			data are recorded on			% Smokers: NR		
			case record forms and					
			submitted. Only					
			elective treatments are					
			tracked. This article is					
			an analysis of <u>1997–</u>					
			<u>2002</u> data.					

* Eleven countries: Australia, Denmark, Hungary, Iceland, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, Finland (Helsinki region only), and Germany. [†]Austria, Belgium, Denmark, United Kingdom, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, Monaco, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland.

Abbreviations: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; CAD = coronary artery disease; CT = computed tomography; CVD = cardiovascular disease; EUROSTAR = European Collaborators on Stent-Graft Techniques for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair; EVAR = endovascular aneurysm repair; MI = myocardial infarction; NR = not reported; PAT = Propranolol Aneurysm Trial; PIVOTAL = Positive Impact of Endovascular Options for Treating Aneurysms Early; PVD = peripheral vascular disease; US = United States.

		QOL		Treatment	N		Mean Difference (95%
Intervention	Study	Screening	Time Period	Group	Analyzed	QOL Scores, Mean (SD)¶	CI), P-Value
Open surgery vs. surveillance	Forbes 1998 ¹²⁷	MOS	Baseline	IG	480	Physical function: 64.2 (30.7)	Physical function: -2.3
	UKSAT	subscale*				Mental health: 80.2 (17.2)	(-6.0 to 1.5); NR Mental health: 0.7 (-1.5 to 2.8); NR
				CG	512	Physical function: 66.5 (29.3)	
						Mental health: 79.5 (17.0)	
			12 months	IG	429	Physical function: 62.1 (29.9)	Physical function: 1.7
			post- randomization			Mental health: 81.7 (17.9)	(-2.3 to 5.7) Mental health: 2.1 (-0.4 to 4.5)
						Mean difference from BL:	
						Physical function: -3.5 (-6.1 to -0.8)	
						Mental health: 0 (-1.5 to 1.5)	
				CG	436	Physical function: 60.3 (30.2)	
						Mental health: 79.6 (18.6) Mean difference from BL:	
						Physical function: -6.2 (-8.8 to -3.7)	
						Mental health: 0 (1.7 to 1.8)	
EVAR vs.	De Rango	SF-36*	Baseline	IG	173	Mean difference (95% CI) from BL:	IG vs. CG
surveillance	2011 ¹²²	51-50	through 6	10	175	Overall QOL: 4.6 (2.3 to 7)	Overall QOL: 5.4 (2.1 to
	2011		months post- randomization		Physical fu	Physical functioning: -0.6 (-3.7 to 2.4)	
						Mental health: 5.2 (2.8 to 7.5)	
	CAESAR			CG	166	Mean difference (95% CI) from BL:	
						Overall QOL: -0.8 (-3.2 to 1.6)	
						Physical functioning: -4.3 (-7.3 to	to 9.3); p=0.0005
						-1.2)	
						Mental health: -0.8 (-3.2 to 1.5)	
			Baseline through end of followup [§]	IG	173	Mean difference (95% CI) from BL:	<i>IG vs. CG</i> Overall QOL: 2.4 (-1.7
						Overall QOL: 4.6 (2.3 to 7)	
						Physical functioning: -0.6 (-3.7 to 2.4)	to 6.6); p=0.25
						Mental health: 5.2 (2.8 to 7.5)	Physical function: 1.5
				CG	166	Mean difference (95% CI) from BL:	(-2.6 to 5.5); p=0.48
						Overall QOL: -6.3 (-9.3 to -3.4)	Mental health: 2.0 (-2.4
						Physical functioning: -8.2 (-12.0 to	to 6.4); p=0.38
						-4.4) Mental health: 4.8 (-7.9 to -1.7) [∥]	
	Figuratoin	EQ-5D [#]	Deceline	IG	351	Utility score: 0.805 (0.1)**	NR
	Eisenstein, 2013 ¹²⁴	EQ-5D"	Baseline	10	331	Visual analog scale: 77.8 (14)	
				CG	350	Utility score: 0.783 (0.2)**	
	PIVOTAL				000	Visual analog scale: 78.2 (15)	
			24 months	IG	205	Utility score: 0.797 (0.2)**	
			post-baseline			Visual analog scale: 76.2 (17)	
				CG	197	Utility score: 0.817 (0.2)**	
						Visual analog scale: 76.5 (18)	

Appendix E Table 10. Quality of Life Results in Studies of Treatment for Small AAA (KQs 4 and 5)

Intervention	Study	QOL Screening	Time Period	Treatment Group	N Analyzed	QOL Scores, Mean (SD)¶	Mean Difference (95% CI), P-Value
Pharmacotherapy vs. surveillance	Lindholt 1999 ¹⁴²	ScreenQL* [†]	Baseline through 2 y	IG	30	NR	Overall QOL: -5.83 $(6.2)^{\ddagger}$; p=0.05 Emotional domain: -0.35 $(2.1)^{\ddagger}$; p=0.59 Health perception: -1.39 $(2.98)^{\ddagger}$; p=0.13
				CG	24	NR	Overall QOL: -1.70 $(5.5)^{\ddagger}$; p=0.07 Emotional domain: 0.00 $(2.0)^{\ddagger}$; p=0.69 Health perception: -0.38 $(2.10)^{\ddagger}$; p=0.30
	PAT Investigators, 2002 ¹⁶⁴	SF-36*	Baseline	IG	276	Physical function: 70.8 (23.9) Mental health: 78.9 (17.3)	Physical function: p=0.11 Mental health: p=0.45
	ΡΑΤ			CG	272	Physical function: 74.1 (24.0) Mental health: 77.8 (17.9)	
			1 month post- randomization	IG	276	Physical function: 68.9 (18.9) Mental health: 78.9 (17.6)	Physical function: p=0.006
				CG	272	Physical function: 74.4 (23.8) Mental health: 78.3 (17.5)	Mental health: p=0.58

*Lower score denotes poorer status.

†A validated generic and global QOL questionnaire with 24 items evaluating six categories: general QOL, emotional health, physical health, psychosomatic distress, social and family functions, and marriage.

‡Mean (SD); change from baseline in each group, not IG vs. CG.

§Mean, 3 years from baseline (SD, 1.2 years).

p<0.01.

⁹Only summary scores reported here. For complete subscales please see full text.

Utility score uses responses to the five dimensions (Mobility, Self-care, Usual activity, Pain/discomfort, Anxious/depressed) to compute a value on a scale of -0.54 to 1.00; higher utility score indicates a better quality of life and a negative value indicates a health state worse than death that can be used to quality-adjust study patient survival time. The final EQ-5D element, visual analog score (VAS), provides a one-question assessment of an individual's quality of life and ranges from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating a better quality of life.

**Utility score N analyzed by group and followup: Baseline IG n = 348, CG n = 349; 24-month postbaseline IG n = 203, CG n = 191.

Abbreviations: BL = baseline; CAESAR = Comparison of Surveillance Versus Aortic Endografting for Small Aneurysm Repair; <math>CG = control group; EVAR = endovascularaneurysm repair; IG = intervention group; MOS = Medical Outcomes Study; NR = not reported; PAT = Propanolol Aneurysm Trial; QOL = quality of life; SF-36 = Short-Form36-Item Health Survey; UKSAT = UK Small Aneurysm Trial.