

1. Were both groups selected from the same source population?

Yes

No

Yes, but method not described

Not reported

2. Did both groups have the same risk of having the outcome of interest at baseline?

Yes

No

Not reported

Not applicable

3. Were subjects in both groups recruited over the same time period?

Yes

No

Yes, but method not described

Not reported

Not applicable

4. Were measurement methods adequate and equally applied to both groups?

Yes

No

Not reported

Not applicable

5. Does the analysis control for baseline differences?

Yes

No

Not applicable

6. Were important potential confounding and modifying variables taken into account in the design and analysis (i.e. through matching, stratification, or statistical adjustment)?

Yes

No

Not applicable

7. Were the statistical methods used to assess the abstracted outcomes appropriate?

Yes

No

Not applicable

8. Was the sample size sufficient to detect appropriate changes in the outcomes of interest? (i.e. was there an explanation of the statistical power?)

Yes

No

9. Was an attempt made to blind the outcome assessors?

Yes

No

Yes, but method not described

Not reported

Not applicable

10. Was the time of follow-up equal in both groups?

Yes

No

Not reported

Not applicable

11. Overall attrition high ( $\geq 20\%$ )?

Yes (please state how high)

No

12. Differential attrition high ( $\geq 15\%$ )?

Yes (please state difference)

No

Not applicable

13. Methods of adverse effects assessment

Patient reported

Physical exam at study visits

Lab evaluations

Standardized scale (e.g. WHO, UKU-SES)

other (please specify)

Not applicable

14. Adverse events pre-specified and defined?

Yes

No

Not applicable

15. Ascertainment techniques for detecting adverse events non-biased and adequately described?

Yes

No

Not applicable

16. Quality rating for observational study?

Good

Fair

Poor - why?