Medical and Sensory-Related Therapies for Children with Autism Spectrum **Disorder Risk of Bias Form**

1. Did the study employ a group design?		
□ Yes □ No		
2. Were the groups randomly assigned?		
□ Yes □ No □ Comments		
3. Was there an appropriate comparison group?		
□ Yes □ No or NR □ Comments		
4. If an RCT, was randomization done correctly?		
□ Yes □ No □ NR □ NA (non-RCT) □ Comments		
5. Was a valid diagnostic approach for ASD used within the study, or were referred participants diagnosed using a valid approach?		
 □ A. clinical DSM-IV/5-based diagnosis + ADI-R and/or ADOS □ B. [clinical DSM-IV/5-based diagnosis + other] OR [ADOS + other, such as SRS, CARS, SCQ, CAST, ASSQ, OR STAT, MCHAT for under 30 months] □ C. Only clinical DSM-IV/5-based diagnosis OR Only ADOS □ D. Neither clinical DSM-IV/5-based diagnosis NOR ADOS □ Comments 		
6. Was the sample clearly characterized (e.g., information provided to characterize participants in terms of impairments associated with their ASD, such as cognitive or developmental level)?		
□ Yes □ No or NR □ Comments		
7. Were inclusion and exclusion criteria clearly stated?		
□ Yes □ No or NR □ Comments		
8. Do the authors report attrition? □ Yes □ No □ Comments		

9. Were characteristics of drop-out group evaluated for differences with the participant

group as a whole?

	NA or minimal attrition	
10. Was the interven	tion fully described?	
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
11. For behavioral/neway?	on-medical studies, was treatment fidelity monitored in a systematic	
□ Yes □ No or NR □ □ Comments	NA	
12. Did the authors n	neasure and report adherence to the intended treatment process?	
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
13. Did the authors r	eport differences in or hold steady all concomitant interventions?	
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
	sures demonstrate adequate reliability and validity (including lity for behavior observation coding)?	
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
15. Were the primary & secondary outcomes clearly specified a priori?		
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
16. Were outcome data collected from sources appropriate to the target outcome (e.g. parent report, teacher report, direct behavior observation)?		
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
17. Were outcomes coded by individuals blinded to the intervention status of the participants?		
□ Yes □ No or NR	□ Comments	
18. Was an appropriate statistical analysis used?		
□ Yes □ No □ Com	ments	

19. a. For RCTs, was there an intent-to-treat analysis?

□ Yes □ No □ NA □ Comments		
20. b. For negative studies, was a power calculation provided?		
□ Yes □ No □ NA □ Comments		
21. c. Did the study correct for multiple testing?		
□ Yes □ No □ NA □ Comments		
22. d. For observational studies, were potential confounders and effect measure modifiers captured?		
□ Yes □ No □ NA □ Comments		
23. e. For observational studies, were potential confounders and effect measure modifiers handled appropriately?		
□ Yes □ No □ NA □ Comments		
24. Were outcomes measured in at least one context outside of the treatment setting?		
□ Yes □ No or NR □ Comments		
25. Were outcomes measured in natural environments to assess generalization?		
□ Yes □ No or NR □ Comments		
26. Were follow-up measures of outcome conducted to assess maintenance of skills at least 3 months after the end of treatment?		
□ Yes □ No or NR □ NA □ Comments		
27. Comments		