Evidence Table E27. Binge eating disorder behavioral treatment – part 9

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Agras, 19955 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Allen, 19996 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Carrard, 20117 | Impact of Weight on QOL Short Form (IWQOL-Lite total score) | G1: 66.9 (15.3)G2: 71.6 (16.3) | 6mG1: 71.7 (16.7)G2: 71.8 (18.0)Mean Between-group difference (95% CI): NR p = 0.0411yG1: 78.2 (14.8)G2: 76.0 (20.2)Mean Between-group difference (95% CI): NR p <0.001 | NA | NA | NA |
| Carter, 19988 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Cassin, 20089 | Extended Satisfaction with Life Scale (ESWLS) | ESWLS1. General life G1: 16.5 (7.8) G2: 17.1 (8.0)2. Social life G1: 14.1 (8.0) G2: 15.8 (8.5)3. Sex life G1: 12.0 (8.0) G2: 13.5 (8.7)4. Self G1: 14.1 (6.5) G2: 16.0 (6.9)5. Physical appearance G1: 7.1 (3.9) G2: 8.7 (4.9) | F stat calculated using repeated measures split-plot analysis of variance (i.e. did the experimental group change more over time than the control?)16 week Follow UpESWLS1. General life G1: 21.9 (8.4) G2: 19.6 (8.4) F = 6.22, (p <0.05) 2. Social life G1: 18.5 (8.9) G2: 18.5 (10.3) F = 1.70, (p = NS) | None | None | None |

Evidence Table E27. Binge eating disorder behavioral treatment – part 9 (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Cassin, 20089(continued) |  | 6. Family G1: 19.5 (8.8) G2: 18.4 (10.1)7. Relationships G1: 17.5 (10.1) G2: 19.2 (9.3) | 3. Sex life G1: 15.9 (9.3) G2: 15.3 (9.1) F = 2.06, (p = NS) 4. Self G1: 20.4 (8.3) G2: 19.4 (7.5) F = 5.95, (p < 0.05)5. Physical appearance G1: 10.6 (6.6) G2: 10.2 (6.0) F = 3.05, (p = NS) 6. Family G1: 23.8 (8.6) G2: 20.9 (9.3) F = 1.93, (p = NS) 7. Relationships G1: 22.1 (9.2) G2: 20.6 (9.2) F = 3.61, (p = NS) |  |  |  |
| Castelnuovo, 201110Castelnuovo, 201111 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Cesa, 201312 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Compare, 201313 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| De Zwaan, 200514 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Dingemans, 200715 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Eldredge, 199716 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Gorin, 200317 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Grilo, 201318 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Grilo, 201419 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Grilo, 201120Grilo, 201121 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Grilo, 200522Masheb, 200723 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Hilbert, 200424 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |

Evidence Table 27. Binge eating disorder behavioral treatment – part 9 (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Le Grange, 200225 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Masheb, 201126 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Masson, 201327 | Eating Disorder Quality of Life Scale (EDQLS) | Eating Disorder Quality of Life Scale (EDQLS)G1: 118.93 (21.13)G2: 117.03 (SD 17.62)Effect size d=-0.10 | Posttreatment Eating Disorder Quality of Life Scale (EDQLS)G1: 137.30 (SD 23.51)G2: 117.17 (SD 17.70)Effect size d=0.98Between group comparison B=18.81, SE 4.12, t=4.56, p<0.05 (95% CI 10.55, 27.07; sr2=0.27) | NA | NA | NA |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Munsch, 200728Munsch, 201229 | Munsch, Biedert, Meyer, et al., 200728:General self-efficacy scale -Jerusalem M, Schwarzer R. Skala zur Allgemeinen Selbstwirksamkeitserwartung (SWE). In: Schwarzer R, Jerusalem M, editors. Skalen zur Erfassung von Lehrer- und Schulermerkmalen. Dok | Munsch, Biedert, Meyer, et al., 200728:Self-efficacy:G1 (n=39): 28.08 (SD 4.87)G2 (n=30): 26.03 (SD 5.74)Life satisfaction:G1 (n=39): 4.94 (SD 2.80)G2 (n=27): 5.13 (SD 2.93) | Munsch, Biedert, Meyer, et al., 200728:6m Self-efficacy, CompletersG1 (n=32): 29.78 (SD 4.21)G2 (n=27): 28.85 (SD 4.11)F=0.53, p=0.4912m Self-efficacy, CompletersG1 (n=23): 31.91 (SD 4.81)G2 (n=21): 29.90 (SD 4.10)F=3.02, p=0.096m Life satisfaction, CompletersG1 (n=25): 6.10 (SD 3.07)G2 (n=23): 5.55 (SD 2.97)F=0.62, p=0.8112m Life satisfaction, CompletersG1 (n=22): 7.81 (SD 3.92)G2 (n=20): 5.92 (SD 3.41)F=0.24, p=0.63 | NA | NA | NA |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Pendleton, 200130 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Peterson, 199831Peterson, 200132 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Peterson, 200933 | IWQOL-Lite 24-item scale: Total score | IWQOL-Lite Total score: mean (SD)G1: 53.3 (21.4)G2: 52.0 (20.3)G3: 53.4 (17.5)G4: 55.3 (18.7)p = NS | NOTE: Ns and p values NR for all completers analyses at all post-treatment and follow-up timepointsIWQOL-Lite: Total score, mean (SD)Post-treatment (20 weeks post-baseline): ITT analysisG1: 58.6 (21.2)G2: 58.5 (21.4)G3: 58.7 (18.4)G4: 57.0 (18.1)p = NS (adjusted for baseline value, site, and sex)6m follow-up (44 weeks post-baseline): ITT analysisG1: 60.3 (23.1)G2: 58.8 (21.8)G3: 60.1 (18.1)G4: NAp = NS (adjusted for baseline value, site, and sex) | NR | NR | NR |

Evidence Table 27. Binge eating disorder behavioral treatment – part 9 (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Peterson, 200933(continued) |  |  | 12m follow-up (72 weeks post-baseline): ITT analysisG1: 58.3 (22.8)G2: 57.6 (22.1)G3: 58.1 (20.7)G4: NA p = NS (adjusted for baseline value, site, and sex) |  |  |  |
| Ricca, 201034 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Riva, 200235 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Riva, 200336 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Safer, 201037Safer, 201138Robinson, 201239 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Schlup, 200940 | SWE (self-efficacy)FLZ (life satisfaction) | SWE27.33FLZ3.08 | SWE (mean difference) G1: 0.12G2: 0.96p=0.395FLZ (mean difference) G1: 0.95G2: -0.01p=0.297 | NA | NA | NA |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First Author's Last NameYear | Definition of Quality of Life | Quality of Life Baseline | Quality of Life Outcomes | Definition of Functional Capacity | Functional Capacity Baseline | Functional Capacity Outcomes |
| Schlup, 201041 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tasca, 200642Tasca, 201243 | NA | NA | NA | none | NA | NA |
| Telch, 200144 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Wilfley, 2002(#499)Hilbert, 201246 | NA | NA | NA | Inventory of Interpersonal Problems (IIP)Social Adjustment Scale (SAS) | IIPG1: 1.2 (SD 0.6)G2: 1.2 (SD 0.6)SASG1: 2.1 (SD 0.5)G2: 2.1 (SD 0.6) | "All other secondary outcomes showed a significant improbelemtn from pre-treatment to post-treatment (linear time effects, all p-values <0.001) except for BMI, which remained stable during the course of treatment."IIP Post-treatmentG1: 1.0 (SD 0.6)G2: |
| Wilson, 201047Sysko, 201048 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |