

Cochrane Collaboration Modified Tool for Assessing Risk of Bias in RCTs

REF ID:		Reviewer:			
<i>Domain</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>High risk of bias</i>	<i>Low risk of bias</i>	<i>Unclear risk of bias</i>	<i>Reviewer Assessment</i>
<i>Selection bias</i> Random sequence generation	Described the method used to generate the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups. Reviewer Comments:	Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomized sequence.	Random sequence generation method should produce comparable groups	Not described in sufficient detail	Judgment: Random Sequence generation <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear
<i>Selection bias</i> Allocation concealment	Described the method used to conceal the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to determine whether intervention allocations could have been foreseen in advance of, or during, enrollment. Reviewer Comments:	Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of allocations prior to assignment.	Intervention allocations likely could not have been foreseen in advance of, or during, enrollment	Not described in sufficient detail	Judgment: Allocation concealment <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear
<i>Reporting Bias</i> Selective reporting	State how the possibility of selective outcome reporting was examined by the authors and what was found. Reviewer Comments:	Reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting.	Selective outcome reporting bias not detected	Insufficient information to permit judgment of 'Low risk' or 'High risk'. <i>(It is likely that the majority of studies will fall into this category.)</i>	Judgment: Selective reporting <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear
<i>Other bias</i> Other sources of bias	Any important concerns about bias not addressed above. If particular questions/entries were pre-specified in the study's protocol, responses should be provided for each question/entry. Reviewer	Bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table.	No other bias detected	There may be a risk of bias, but there is either: Insufficient information to assess whether an important risk of bias exists; or Insufficient rationale or evidence that an identified problem will introduce bias.	Judgment: Other sources of bias <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear

Comments:					
Outcome(s):					
Domain	Description	High risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Unclear risk of bias	Reviewer Assessment
<i>Performance bias</i> Blinding (participants and personnel)	Described all measures used, if any, to blind study participants and personnel from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. Provided any information relating to whether the intended blinding was effective. Reviewer Comments:	Performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study.	Blinding was likely effective.	Not described in sufficient detail	Judgment: Blinding (participants and personnel) <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear
<i>Detection bias</i> Blinding (outcome assessment)	Described all measures used, if any, to blind outcome assessors from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. Provided any information relating to whether the intended blinding was effective. Reviewer Comments:	Detection bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by outcome assessors.	Blinding was likely effective.	Not described in sufficient detail	Judgment: Blinding (outcome assessment) <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear
<i>Attrition bias</i> Incomplete outcome data	Described the completeness of outcome data for each main outcome, including attrition and exclusions from the analysis. Stated whether attrition and exclusions were reported, the numbers in each intervention group (compared with total randomized participants), reasons for attrition/exclusions where reported. Reviewer	Attrition bias due to amount, nature or handling of incomplete outcome data.	Handling of incomplete outcome data was complete and unlikely to have produced bias	Insufficient reporting of attrition/exclusions to permit judgment of 'Low risk' or 'High risk' (e.g. number randomized not stated, no reasons for missing data provided)	Judgment: Incomplete outcome data <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear

	Comments:				