Table C-32. Reported data: CT versus PET/CT for colorectal staging T

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Study**  **N Patients**  **Author’s Conclusions** | **Outcomes** | **CT**  **Reported T Stage Data** | **PET/CT**  **Reported T Stage Data** | **T Stage by Pathology** | **CT**  **T1** | **CT**  **T2** | **CT**  **T3** | **CT**  **T4** | **PET/CT**  **T1** | **PET/CT**  **T2** | **PET/CT**  **T3** | **PET/CT**  **T4** |
| Uchiyama et al. 201289  80 lesions, 77 patients  Conclusion: **PET/CT is better** | Accuracy | 78.8% | 95.0% | pT1 | 4 | NR | NR | NR | 11 | NR | NR | NR |
| T1/T2 vs. T3/T4  Sensitivity | Not reported | Not reported | pT2 | NR | 8 | NR | NR | NR | 11 | NR | NR |
| T1/T2 vs. T3/T4  Specificity | Not reported | Not reported | pT3 | NR | NR | 44 | NR | NR | NR | 47 | NR |
| pT4 | NR | NR | NR | 7 | NR | NR | NR | 7 |

CT=Computed tomography; NR=not reported; PET=positron emission tomography; PET/CT=positron emission tomography/computed tomography; pT=pathologic tumor stage; T=tumor stage.