Table C-32. Reported data: CT versus PET/CT for colorectal staging T

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Study****N Patients****Author’s Conclusions** | **Outcomes** | **CT****Reported T Stage Data** | **PET/CT****Reported T Stage Data** | **T Stage by Pathology** | **CT****T1** | **CT****T2** | **CT****T3** | **CT****T4** | **PET/CT****T1** | **PET/CT****T2** | **PET/CT****T3** | **PET/CT****T4** |
| Uchiyama et al. 20128980 lesions, 77 patientsConclusion: **PET/CT is better** | Accuracy | 78.8% | 95.0% | pT1 | 4 | NR | NR | NR | 11 | NR | NR | NR |
| T1/T2 vs. T3/T4Sensitivity | Not reported | Not reported | pT2 | NR | 8 | NR | NR | NR | 11 | NR | NR |
| T1/T2 vs. T3/T4Specificity | Not reported | Not reported | pT3 | NR | NR | 44 | NR | NR | NR | 47 | NR |
| pT4 | NR | NR | NR | 7 | NR | NR | NR | 7 |

CT=Computed tomography; NR=not reported; PET=positron emission tomography; PET/CT=positron emission tomography/computed tomography; pT=pathologic tumor stage; T=tumor stage.