Table C-8. Continued risk of bias assessment for systematic reviews and meta-analyses

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, Year | Was the approach used to synthesize the information adequate and appropriate? | Were the author's conclusions supported by the evidence they presented? | Risk of Bias | Notes; explain "high" ratings |
| Mason, 2012160 | Yes | Yes | Medium | Unclear whether they had dual independent review for study selection. |
| Jorgensen, 2011161 | Yes | Yes | Medium | Did not search for unpublished studies; did not assess publication bias; unsure about dual review. |
| Rosner, 2010162 | Yes | Yes | Medium | Did not have dual independent review of abstracts and full texts |
| Rosner, 2010163 | Yes | Yes | Medium | Did not have dual independent review of abstracts and full texts |
| Lobmaier, 2008164 | Yes | Yes | Low | The ROB is low, but only harms data for the subset of alcohol-dependent studies are useful for the purposes of our report (the rest is beyond our scope). |
| NICE, 2011165 | Yes | Yes | Medium | Did not have dual independent review of abstracts and full texts |