Key Question 2

Table E6. Key Question 2: general study characteristics

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Study | Study Design | Number of Participants/Facilities | State/Country | Rural/Urban | Treatment Setting |
| Johnson and Zlotnick, 201235 | RCT | 38 female inmates in a state prison with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder who were due to be released in the near future participated in this RCT. | Rhode Island/ United States | NR | Prison to community |
| Wenzlow et al.,  201179 | Nonrandomized comparative study using administrative data | 686 inmates released from Oklahoma State prisons between 2004 and 2008 | Oklahoma/ United States | NR | Prison to community |
| Theurer and Lovell, 200878 | Nonrandomized comparative study using matching | 64 State prisoners with SMI and 64 matched controls, and a larger control group of offenders released at a prior time point with serious mental illness | Washington/ United States | Urban | Prison to community |
| Coid et al.,  200780 | Nonrandomized comparative study using administrative data | 1,061 patients treated in medium-security forensic unit of a psychiatric hospital followed by psychiatric service upon release. The services were either provided by forensic specialists or by generalist MH care providers. | England and Wales/ United Kingdom | Both | Forensic unit of a psychiatric hospital to community |
| Chandler and Spicer, 200681 | RCT | Jail followed by high-fidelity IDDT (N=103) vs. jail followed by TAU | California/ United States | Urban | Jail -to-community |
| Van Stelle and Moberg, 200482 | Nonrandomized comparative study using administrative data; all subjects were eligible for the treatment being studied. | 212 prisoners with dual diagnoses were enrolled in the therapeutic community and from October 1997 through September 2001. 66 prisoners with dual diagnoses who had less than 18 months left on their sentence, but who qualified for therapeutic community acted as a comparison group. All prisoners were felons. | Wisconsin/ United States | NR | Prison to community |
| Solomon and Draine, 199583 | RCT | 200 inmates of a large urban city jail were randomized. 176 of these were eligible to participate in this RCT. | Pennsylvania/USA | Urban | Jail to community |

IDDT=Integrated dual diagnosis treatment; MH=mental health; NR=not reported; RCT=randomized controlled trial; SMI=serious mental illness; TAU=treatment as usual