

Appendix F. Study Quality Form

Refid: 12, Skateboards: Are they really perilous? A retrospective study from a district hospital.
Rethnam U, Yesupalan RS, Sinha A

and go to [or Skip to Next](#)

QUALITY FORM JADAD (quality of controlled trials)

1. Was the study described as randomized (this includes the use of words such as randomly, random, and randomization)? In other words, was the allocation concealed?
 - Yes (go to question 2)
 - No (-1)
 - Unspecified (0)

[Clear Response](#)
2. If the answer to question #1 was "yes," then answer the following:
 - Was the method used to generate the sequence of randomization described and was it appropriate? (+1)
 - Was the method of randomization described but inappropriate? (-1)
 - unspecified (0)

[Clear Response](#)
3. Was the study described as double blind? In other words, were the outcome assessors blind in addition to the patients?
 - Yes (go to question 4)
 - No (-1)

[Clear Response](#)
4. If the answer to #3 is "Yes" then answer the following:
 - The method of double blinding was described and appropriate (+1)
 - the study was described as being blind, but the method of blinding was inappropriate (-1)
 - unspecified (0)

[Clear Response](#)
5. Was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts?
 - Yes (+1)
 - No (-1)

[Clear Response](#)

and go to [or Skip to Next](#)

Refid: 12, Skateboards: Are they really perilous? A retrospective study from a district hospital.
Rethnam U, Yesupalan RS, Sinha A.

Submit Form and go to or [Skip to Next](#)

QUALITY FORM Survey

1. What data collection methods were used in the study?
 - Self-administered questionnaire
 - Mailed questionnaire
 - Group-administered setting
 - Face-to-face interviews
 - Telephone interviews
 - Computer or computer assisted device (CAD)
 - Other/unclear
2. Did the study describe the setting or population from which the study sample was drawn?
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description: setting (e.g., clinic), location, and dates[Clear Response](#)
3. Were the inclusion/exclusion criteria described?
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description: methods for selection of participants, or inclusion/exclusion criteria, or diagnostic criteria for enrollment[Clear Response](#)
4. Does the study describe key characteristics of study participants at enrollment/baseline?
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description: age, sex, gender, etc.)[Clear Response](#)
5. What is the survey completion rate?
 - Can't calculate
 - N/n
 - %[Clear Response](#)
6. Is there discussion of the validity and/or reliability of the survey instrument?
 - No
 - Yes, only poor discussion of validity OR good discussion with poor validity
 - Yes, good definition and high validity[Clear Response](#)
7. Comment

Refid: 12, Skateboards: Are they really perilous? A retrospective study from a district hospital.
Rethnam U, Yesupalan RS, Sinha A

and go to [or Skip to Next](#)

QUALITY FORM
Qualitative Research

1. How was the data generated?

- Field/participant observation
- In-depth interview
- Focus groups
- Document analysis
- Other

2. Is there a description of how and why these participants were selected?

- No
- To some extent
- Yes, with detailed description: how these people are expected to contribute, conditions which make them eligible for the study.
- NA

3. Has the author rendered transparent the process by which data have been collected, analyzed and presented (can be audited, verified)

- No
- Yes, to some extent
- Yes, detailed description of theoretical, methodological, and analytic decisions

[Clear Response](#)

and go to [or Skip to Next](#)

Refid: 12, Skateboards: Are they really perilous? A retrospective study from a district hospital.
Rethnam U, Yesupalan RS, Sinha A.

[Submit Form](#) and go to or [Skip to Next](#)

QUALITY FORM
Observational/Qualitative/Structured Interviews

1. How was the data generated?
 - Field/participant observation
 - In-depth interview
 - Focus groups
 - Document analysis
 - Other
2. Has the author rendered transparent the process by which data have been collected, analyzed and presented (can be audited, verified)
 - No
 - Yes, to some extent
 - Yes, detailed description of theoretical, methodological, and analytic decisions

[Clear Response](#)
3. Did the study describe the setting or population from which the study sample was drawn?
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description: setting (e.g., clinic), location, and dates

[Clear Response](#)
4. Were the inclusion or exclusion criteria described?
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description: methods for selection of participants, or inclusion/exclusion criteria, or diagnostic criteria for enrollment

[Clear Response](#)
5. Does the study describe the key characteristics of study participants at enrollment/baseline?
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description: age, sex, genotype, relevant comorbidities which can influence outcomes

[Clear Response](#)
6. Was the type of economic evidence described?
 - NA
 - No
 - To some extent
 - Yes, with detailed description

[Clear Response](#)
7. Do the authors report at least one objective outcome from the use of economic evidence?
 - No
 - To some extent

Yes, with description

NA

[Clear Response](#)

8. What was the percentage of participants who were lost to follow-up?

Not reported

N/n

%

NA

[Clear Response](#)

9. Comment

and go to or