APPENDIX 3: FULL TEXT SCREENING CHECKLIST

a) Clinical Review

- 1. Did this article include patients presenting in the ED with chest pain who are suspected to have ACS or AMI?
 - \Box Yes (include)
 - \Box No (exclude)
 - □ Maybe (include)

2. Is the article the primary report of the final results from a:

- □ RCT (include)
- □ Non-RCT (include)
- □ Meta-analysis / systematic review, or HTA (include)
- □ Comparative observational study (include)
- □ All other study types (exclude)
- \Box Can't decide (include)

3. What comparator is used in the study?

- \Box cTnT (include)
- □ cTnI (include all non–point-of-care assays or Siemens Stratus CS point-of-care assay))
- □ Cardiac ischemia biomarkers other than troponin (exclude)
- \Box No comparator (exclude)

4. Include if the outcome of interest in the study is one of the following:

- □ Diagnostic test performance (including sensitivity, specificity, positive or negative likelihood ratios, positive or negative predictive values, AUC, rates of false-positive or false-negative tests, and test accuracy)
- □ Thromboembolic events (e.g., VTE, DVT, PE)
- □ Acute cardiovascular events (e.g., ACS, AMI)
- □ Chronic / non-acute cardiovascular events (e.g., coronary artery stenosis/narrowing seen on angiogram)
- □ Revascularization procedures (e.g., angiograms, PCI, CABG)
- □ ED time until diagnosis or detection of abnormal concentration
- □ Heart failure
- □ Quality of life
- □ Death
- \Box 30-day readmission rate
- □ 30-day recurrence rate
- □ 30-day mortality
- \Box Any harm outcomes reported
- \Box None of the above (exclude)

5. Final Decision

- □ Include
- □ Exclude
- \Box Non-English or unable to translate

Reason for Exclusion:

- □ Inappropriate study population
- □ Not study types of interest
- □ Not primary report of study
- □ Study description only
- \Box No intervention of interest
- \Box No/inappropriate control group
- □ No relevant outcomes

b) Economic Review

Author (Year): _____ REF ID: _____

Level 2 Screening Questions		Circle One	
Q1. Is this a primary economic eva	luation?	Yes	No
Q2. Are costs measured?Q3 Is effectiveness measured		Yes	No
		Yes	No
Q4. Does the study evaluate laboratory testing for patients		Yes	No
admitted to an ED who are sus ACS?	pected of having MI or		
Q5. Is one of treatment comparator			
a) hs-cTnT (Abbott ARCHITE)	CT, Beckman Access,	Yes	No
Siemens Vista)			
or			
b) hs-cTnI (Roche Cobas E, Ro	che Elecsys)	Yes	No
Q6. Is one of the treatment compar			
a) hs-cTnT (Abbott ARCHITE Siemens Vista)	CT, Beckman Access,	Yes	No
or			
b) hs-cTnI (Roche Cobas E, Ro	che Elecsys)	Yes	No
or			
c) Sensitive Troponin T (Roche		Yes	No
Elecsys TnT Gen 4, Roche C	Cardiac Reader cTnT)		
or			
d) Sensitive Troponin I (Abbott			
ARCHITECT, Alere Triage	-		
Cardio3, Beckman Access A	-	\$7	NT
Ultra, Ortho Vitros ECi ES,		Yes	No
Siemens Dimension RxL, Si			
Siemens Immulite 2500, Sie	mens stratus (S)	Vaa	Ne
Include study for review		Yes	No

Reason for Exclusion:

Check One if Study Was Excluded			
1. Neither costs or effects evaluated			
2. Cost-study only (no effectiveness measured)			
3. hs-cTnI or hs-cTnT were not comparators			
4. Other			