Evidence-to-recommendation table

Problem Is the problem a priority?			
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
o No o Probably no o Probably yes ● Yes o Varies o Don't know	The ageing population means that the absolute numbers of those living with cognitive decline or dementia continue to rise, with an estimated prevalence of 75 million by 2030 and a new case of dementia diagnosed every three seconds(1) Anything that could reduce the incidence of cognitive decline or dementia would have huge importance for individual health, society and health care providers. Hearing loss is a prevalent age-related disorder. It is the fourth leading cause of years lived with disability in the global population(2) It also increases the risk of cognitive decline/dementia(3). Hearing loss and cognitive impairment or dementia, individually, and in combination, predict functional ability and burden of care. Correcting hearing loss may reduce risk of cognitive decline and dementia in later life and also improve outcomes for the elderly on multiple domains.	A recent meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies showed that the relative risk of hearing impairment on incident Alzheimer's and MCI was 2.82 (95% CI 1.47 to 5.42) (4)	
How substantial are the desirable anti-	cipated effects?		
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
o Trivial o Small	Desirable effects:	Primary review ((5).) reported that hearing aids use was found to be associated with improvements in cognitive function, however	

		based auditory based training and found poor quality evidence which was not possible to draw conclusions from.		
Undesirable Effects How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?				
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS		
o Large o Moderate ● Small o Trivial o Varies o Don't know	Undesirable effects: No evidence on functional level, dropout rates or adverse events.	Possible problems associated with of hearing aid may include background interference, or other issues with sound, volume and comfort.		
Certainty of evidence What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects?				
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS		
 Very low Low Moderate High No included studies 	For cognitive function and quality of life, the certainty of evidence is very low. No evidence for MCI, dementia, functional level (ADL, IADL), adverse events, drop outs.			
Values Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes?				
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS		
O Important uncertainty or variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability O Probably no important uncertainty or variability No important uncertainty or variability	A review conducted by Anderson et al 2009(7) on public perceptions about cognitive health in the United States revealed that a large proportion of the population were concerned about declines in cognition or memory. Further studies in Australia(8) and the United Kingdom(9)(UK) and have shown a general trend of individuals being fearful of developing dementia. Data from low and middle income countries is unavailable. There is no evidence showing that individuals would oppose dementia risk reduction, or view	Additional sources like the Saga Survey(10) and Alzheimer's Research UK(11) have reported high percentage of people in the UK fear dementia, even more so than cancer, and feel a prognosis would mean their life is over (62%).		
	cognitive decline favourably. Hence, there is no reason to believe there is important			

	uncertainty about or variability in how much people value reducing the risk of cognitive decline and/or dementia.	
Balance of effects Does the balance between desirable and undesirable	irable effects favor the intervention or the comparison?	
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
O Favors the comparison O Probably favors the comparison O Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison O Probably favors the intervention O Favors the intervention O Varies Don't know	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison (hearing aids may improve quality of life but the amount of evidence available is limited). No data on adverse effects was available.	
Resources required		
How large are the resource requirements (costs)?	
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
o Large costs ● Moderate costs o Negligible costs and savings o Moderate savings o Large savings o Varies o Don't know	N/A (no conclusive evidence favouring the hearing aids as an intervention for reducing the risk of cognitive decline or dementia). For hearing aid interventions, there no data with respect to cost in the included studies. The resource requirements of hearing aid interventions are likely to involve associated costs for hearing assessments, audiology appointments and hearing aid devices; this will vary between healthcare policies and between different countries.	The NICE guidelines(12)tate that adults with suspected or diagnosed dementia or cognitive impairment should be referred for a hearing assessment, and list hearing aids as one of the treatment pathways for adults with hearing loss that affects their ability to communicate or hear, followed by audiology follow up appointments six to twelve week following fitting.

Certainty of evidence of required resources What is the certainty of the evidence of resource requirements (costs)?			
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
o Very low ■ Low o Moderate o High o No included studies	N/A (No conclusive evidence favouring the hearing aids as an intervention for reducing the risk of cognitive decline or dementia). For hearing aid interventions, there is great uncertainty due to lack of data in the included studies. No formal evidence reporting on mean cost of hearing aid interventions to the individual or to government; this would depend on individual countries welfare rebates and policies. Also the resource costs are variable depending upon type of intervention.		
Cost effectiveness Does the cost-effectiveness of the intervention favor the intervention or the comparison?			
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
O Favors the comparison O Probably favors the comparison O Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison O Probably favors the intervention O Favors the intervention O Varies No included studies	Inconclusive, no high quality review evidence available on cost effectiveness of hearing aids. A cost effectiveness analysis conducted in 2008 (13) reported hearing aids in elderly populations was a cost effective strategy. It reported "incremental cost for gaining an additional hearing-related quality-adjusted life-years in women and men were US \$13615 and 9702 respectively". However, the model was based on a small number of primary studies with data from higher income countries and modelled solely on hearing improvement. Another important cost-effectiveness factor which was not consider in this analysis is that many fitted with hearing aids do not wear them.(14) Data from low and middle income countries is unavailable.	The only review(15) was conducted on the cost-effectiveness compared digital hearing aids to analogue hearing aids. It showed no additional benefit of digital over analogue hearing aids.	
Equity What would be the impact on health equity?			
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
 ○ Reduced ○ Probably reduced ○ Probably no impact ● Probably increased ○ Increased 	A report from the Institute of Health on inequalities in cognitive impairment and dementia among older persons(16)studies health equities in England, They found that individuals with lower socioeconomic status (SES) were at increased risk of earlier onset of dementia, cognitive dysfunction at earlier stages of cognitive decline and impairment, and tend to have fewer resources to cope with symptoms, as compared to higher SES groups. Further, lower SES		

o Varies o Don't know	groups are likely to live and age in environments that are physically and economically less supportive of social connection physical activity or mental stimulation, which can increase the risk of cognitive impairment and dementia in later life. Based on this it is likely that interventions to reduce risk of cognitive decline and dementia will increase equity in health.			
Acceptability Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?				
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS		
o No o Probably no o Probably yes o Yes ● Varies o Don't know	A scoping review(14) and the Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study has been shown that a large proportion hearing impaired elderly adults do not utilise their hearing aids.(17) The scoping review by McCormack et al 2013 suggested hearing aid value, fit and comfort and maintenance of the hearing aid, attitude, device factors, financial reasons, psycho-social/situational factors, healthcare professionals attitudes, ear problems, and appearance were some of the nominated reasons for this. Data from low and middle income countries is unavailable. Lack of public awareness about modifiable dementia risk factors can interfere with help seeking and public acceptability of these interventions.	A recent review by Cations et al, 2018(18) on the general public's perception and prevention of dementia suggests that knowledge about the potential for dementia risk reduction remains poor but may be improving over time. However, hearing correction was not a dementia prevention strategy covered by primary studies and individuals may lack awareness of the link between dementia and hearing impairment.		
Feasibility Is the intervention feasible to implement?				
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS		
o No o Probably no o Probably yes o Yes ● Varies o Don't know	Both hearing aids and usual care/no intervention are already being used in hearing impaired populations currently. However, issues with compliance may cause barriers to proper implementation. A scoping review(14) and the Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study has been shown that a large proportion hearing impaired elderly adults do not utilise their hearing aids(17) The scoping review by McCormack et al 2013 suggested hearing aid value, fit and comfort and maintenance of the hearing aid, attitude, device factors, financial reasons, psycho-social/situational factors, healthcare professionals attitudes, ear problems, and appearance were some of the nominated reasons for this. Based on the limited high quality evidence available on feasibility, it is not possible to make conclusions.			

REFERENCES SUMMARY

- 1. Prince, M. J.. World Alzheimer Report 2015: the global impact of dementia: an analysis of prevalence, incidence, cost and trends.. Alzheimer's Disease International; 2015.
- 2. World, Health Organization.,. Hearing Loss in Persons 65 Years and Older Based on WHO Global Estimates on Prevalence of Hearing Loss.. 2012.
- 3. Lin, F. R., Yaffe, K., Xia, J., Xue, Q. L., Harris, T. B., Purchase-Helzner, E.,... & Health ABC Study Group, F.. Hearing loss and cognitive decline in older adults.. JAMA internal medicine; 2013.
- 4. Zheng Y, Fan S, Liao W, Fang W, Xiao S, Liu J. Hearing impairment and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies.. Neurological Sciences; 2016.
- 5. Cherko, M., Hickson, L., Bhutta, M., Auditory deprivation and health in the elderly. Maturitas; Jun 2016.
- 6. Miller, G., Miller, C., Marrone, N., Howe, C., Fain, M., Jacob, A.. The impact of cochlear implantation on cognition in older adults: a systematic review of clinical evidence. BMC Geriatr; Feb 25 2015.
- 7. Anderson, L. A., Day, K. L., Beard, R. L., Reed, P. S., & Wu, B.. The public's perceptions about cognitive health and Alzheimer's disease among the US population: a national review. The Gerontologist; 2009.
- 8. Low, L. F., & Anstey, K. J.. Dementia literacy: recognition and beliefs on dementia of the Australian public.. Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association; 2009.
- 9. Yeo, L. J., Horan, M. A., Jones, M., & Pendleton, N.. Perceptions of risk and prevention of dementia in the healthy elderly. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders; 2007.
- 10. Healthcare., Saga. Dementia more feared than Cancer new Saga Survey reveals.. Retrieved from https://www.dementiastatistics.org/statistics-about-dementia/public-perception/; 2016.
- 11. Society., Alzheimer's. Dementia Awareness Week.. Retrieved from https://www.saga.co.uk/newsroom/press-releases/2016/may/older-people-fear-dementia-more-than-cancer-new-saga-survey-reveals.aspx; 2016.
- 12. National,Institute,for,Health,and,Care Excellence.,. Hearing loss in adults: assessment and management. (NICE Guideline No. 98).. Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng98/chapter/Recommendations#information-and-support.; 2018.
- 13. Chao, T. K., & Chen, T. H. H.. Cost-effectiveness of hearing aids in the hearing-impaired elderly: a probabilistic approach. Otology & Neurotology; 2008.
- 14. McCormack, A., & Fortnum, H.. Why do people fitted with hearing aids not wear them?. International Journal of Audiology; 2013.
- 15. Taylor, R. S., Paisley, S., & Davis, A.. Systematic review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of digital hearing aids. British journal of audiology; 2001.
- 16. Daly., S. & Allen., J.. Inequalities in mental health cognitive impairment and Dementia among older people. London, Institute of Health Equity.. Retrieved from http://www.instituteofhealthequity.org/resources-reports/inequalities-in-mental-health-cognitive-impairment-and-dementia-among-older-people; 2016.
- 17. Popelka MM1, Cruickshanks KJ, Wiley TL, Tweed TS, Klein BE, Klein R.. Low prevalence of hearing aid use among older adults with hearing loss: the Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society;
- 18. Cations, M., Radisic, G., Crotty, M., & Laver, K. E.. What does the general public understand about prevention and treatment of dementia? A systematic review of population-based surveys.. PloS one; 2018.