**Evidence Table 14. Participant characteristics for studies taking place in a school setting with home and community components**

| **Author, year** | **Total N** | **Follow-up period, weeks** | **Girls, N (%)** | **Age,** **Mean (SD) unless otherwise labeled** | **Race, N (%)** | **Grade level, N (%)** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Angelopoulos, 20091 | 646 | 65-73 weeks | Overall: 360Arm1: 176Arm2: 184 | Arm1: 10.29 (0.44)Arm2: 10.25 (0.44) | NR | 5th gradeOverall: 646Arm 1: 321Arm 2: 325 | Note: Baseline was 1 to 2 months before intervention started. Social and demographic characteristics reported included School Region (Urban vs. Rural) Nationality (Greek vs. Immigrants), Parental Educational level, and Maternal Educational level, but there were no significant differences between the intervention and control groups. |
| de Meij, 20103 | 2829 | 34-86 weeks | Overall:1404 (49.6)Arm1: 698 (49.7)Arm2: 706 (50.3) | Arm1: Boys=8.6 (1.8)Girls=8.5 (1.8)Arm2: Boys=8.6 (1.9) Girls=8.5 (1.9) | DutchOverall: NRArm 1: (18.5)Arm 2: (10.2)MoroccanOverall: NRArm 1: (31.6)Arm 2: (30.0) Turkish, Surinam, Western-other, Non-western otherOverall: NRArm 1: (49.9)Arm 2: (59.7) | NR | At baseline, there was a significant difference in waist circumference for intervention girls compared to control girls (62.1 (9.4) compared to 61.0 (8.5), respectively P=0.05). |
| Greening, 20114 | 450 | 34 weeks | Overall: (48) | Overall: 8.34 (1.30)Range: 6-10  | White, non-HispanicArm 1: 37Arm 2: 42Black, non-HispanicArm 1: (63)Arm 2: (58) | NR | Schools and not individuals were randomized to the two ArmsThe participating schools were the only two schools in their respective communities, precluding testing additional schools in the community. |
| Jansen, 20115 | 2622 | 39 weeks | They reported sex by grade.For grades 3-5: Arm 1: (51)Arm 2: (50.5) For grades 6-8: Arm 1: (49) Arm 2: (47.2) | NR |  | Grades 3-5Arm 1: 729Arm 2: 657Grades 6-8Arm 1: 653Arm 2: 583 |  |
| Sanigorski, 20086 | NR | NRThe study says that children were measured in 2003/2004 (baseline) and again in 2006 (follow-up). The follow-up time can only be extrapolated from this as we do not have a good idea of when the measurements took place in 2003 and 2006. | Arm1: (53.6)Arm2: (50.2) | Arm1: 8.34 (2.22)Arm2: 8.21 (2.26) |  | NR | Height significantly differed (P=0.01) between the two groups: 130.5 cm vs. 128.9 cm for Arm 1 (control) vs. Arm 2 (intervention), respectively.Data reported in the form above are only for children who consented to data collection, not the total number who participated.For Arm 1 (control), a total of 2687 were originally allocated to the control group but data reported here are for the 1183 who consented to data collection. 974 were analyzed (follow-up).For Arm 2, a total of 1726 children were originally allocated to the intervention group, but only 1001 consented to data collection. 883 were analyzed (follow-up).Baseline age and gender were reported for the entire baseline sample (1183 in arm 1 and 1001 in arm2). For those continued in follow-up, age is 10.31(2.14) and 11.13 (2.17) in arm 1 and arm 2; % girl is 49.1% and 53.7% in arm 1 and 2.Please note that the study does report the education level and SES of the parents. |
| Millar, 20117 | 2054 | NR | Overall: (46.5) | Overall:14.6 (1.42)  |  NR | NR |  |
| Naul, 20128 | 557 | 208 weeks | NR | NR | NR | NR | The data was divided into 261 participants from Germany and 296 from the Netherlands. |
| De Coen, 20122 | 3241 | 104 weeks | Overall:50Arm1:54.7Arm2:47.1 | NR | NR | NR |  |
| Tomlin, 20129 | 148 | 28 weeks | NR | NR | NR | NR |  |

Cm = Centimeters; N = Sample Size; NR = Not Reported; P = P-value; SD = Standard Deviation; SES = Social-Economic Status