

# Recitation 11: Discrimination

Draws on notes by David Autor and by Devin Pope

Alex Olssen and Maddie McKelway

# Outline

- 1 Taste-Based vs. Statistical Discrimination
- 2 Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination (Bohren et al. (2019))
- 3 Reducing Discrimination

# Outline

- 1 Taste-Based vs. Statistical Discrimination
- 2 Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination (Bohren et al. (2019))
- 3 Reducing Discrimination

## Taste-Based Discrimination: Becker 1957

- “Taste for discrimination”: employers get disutility from hiring women,  $f$ , but not men,  $m$
- Employers maximize their utility, which is profit minus a cost for employing women

$$U = pF(N_m + N_f) - w_m N_m - w_f N_f - dN_f$$

- ▶  $p$  is the price of the good that the firm makes
- ▶  $F$  is the production function of the firm
- ▶  $w_x$  is the wage for group  $x$
- ▶  $N_x$  is the number of employees of group  $x$
- ▶  $d$  is the taste-based discrimination parameter

## Taste-Based Discrimination: Wage Discrimination

- Employers solve

$$\max_{N_m, N_f} pF(N_m + N_f) - w_m N_m - w_f N_f - dN_f$$

- First-order conditions

$$pF' = w_m \text{ and } pF' = w_f + d$$

- Prejudiced employers ( $d > 0$ ) only hire women if

$$w_m \geq w_f + d$$

- ▶ Why? Women and men are perfect substitutes in production, and the effective women's wage for prejudiced employers is  $w_f + d$ . If they hire women, men's wages must be at least as high as this effective wage for women.

## Taste-Based Discrimination: Implications

- Suppose that different employers have different values for  $d$
- If there are a lot of prejudiced employers ( $d > 0$ ), then:
  - ▶ There are women who work for prejudiced employers
  - ▶ There is a wage gap for these women:  $w_f = w_m - d$
  - ▶ If markets are competitive, then non-prejudiced employers will grow (because they can arbitrage the wage gap)
  - ▶ If markets are competitive, prejudiced employers will make less profit

## Statistical Discrimination: Aigner and Cain (1977)

- Distinct from taste-based discrimination
- Employers observe a noisy measure,  $y$ , of true productivity,  $q$
- Thus employers may want to use observable characteristics (e.g., gender) to infer expected productivity (assuming productivity is correlated with gender)
- A simple case:

$$y = q + u$$

$$q \sim N(\alpha, \sigma_q^2)$$

$$u \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

$q$  and  $u$  are independent

## Statistical Discrimination: Wage Discrimination

- Employers infer average productivity  $q$  based on measure  $y$

$$E(q|y) = (1 - \gamma)\alpha + \gamma y$$

with  $\gamma = \frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_q^2 + \sigma_u^2}$

- ▶ Comes from property of bivariate normal distribution
- Suppose that women are more productive than men: specifically,  $q_f \sim N(\alpha_f, \sigma_q^2)$  and  $q_m \sim N(\alpha_m, \sigma_q^2)$  with  $\alpha_f > \alpha_m$
- Suppose employers pay workers their expected productivity: a man and a woman who have measured productivity  $y$  are paid  $(1 - \gamma)\alpha_m + \gamma y$  and  $(1 - \gamma)\alpha_f + \gamma y$  respectively
  - ▶ There is equal pay for equal expected productivity.
  - ▶ There is not equal pay for equal productivity.
  - ▶ There is not equal pay for equal measured productivity.
    - ★ Even if  $y$  is the same, the wage gap is  $(1 - \gamma)(\alpha_f - \alpha_m)$
  - ▶ Subtle point: each group is paid its average productivity



# Taste-Based and Statistical Explanations

- What are taste-based and statistical explanations for the following?
  - ▶ An american tourist gets quoted higher prices at foreign flea markets
  - ▶ A teenager receives a low number of callbacks for job applications
  - ▶ A woman receives a high quote from a car mechanic

# Testing for Discrimination

- Many approaches to testing for discrimination (not all distinguish taste-based from statistical)
- Two approaches to documenting discrimination from Frank's lecture
  - ▶ Correspondence studies
  - ▶ Quasi-experiments
- Another approach to distinguishing taste-based and statistical discrimination:
  - ▶ Look for differences in productivity across groups
  - ▶ If none, then infer discrimination is taste-based
  - ▶ If productivity differences exist, then are they large enough to explain discrimination?
  - ▶ What might be a potential problem with this approach?

# Outline

- 1 Taste-Based vs. Statistical Discrimination
- 2 Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination (Bohren et al. (2019))
- 3 Reducing Discrimination

## Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination: Bohren et al. (2019)

- Recent papers distinguish between accurate and inaccurate statistical discrimination
- If we ignore possibility of inaccurate statistical discrimination, we may incorrectly understand discrimination
  - ▶ Suppose we study wage discrimination
  - ▶ We look at the productivity for the majority and minority group and find no differences
  - ▶ Suppose we only consider taste-based and (classical) statistical discrimination
  - ▶ Cannot be (classical) statistical discrimination because there are no underlying differences in productivity (so group is not correlated with productivity)
  - ▶ However, employers may falsely believe there are productivity differences
- Bohren et al. (2019) run an experiment and show that inaccurate statistical discrimination can be falsely interpreted as taste-based discrimination

# Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination: The Experiment

- Overview of the experiment

- ▶ 589 workers from India and the USA do a 50 question math test
- ▶ 577 employers shown 20 worker profiles and asked how much they would pay each
- ▶ Sample profile:
  - ★ Country: USA
  - ★ Gender: Female
  - ★ Age: 63
  - ★ Favorite High School Subject: English
  - ★ Favorite Sport: Gymnastics
  - ★ Favorite Color: Sea Green
  - ★ Favorite Movie: Overboard
  - ★ Prefers Tea/Coffee: Tea
- ▶ If an employer hires a worker, they are paid proportionally to the number of correct questions
- ▶ Last, ask employers questions about beliefs
  - ★ "On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think  $X$  answered correctly?"
  - ★  $X$  is, for example, people from India

## Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination: Results

- First, employers discriminate: Indians and men receive higher wage offers
- In this experiment, workers from India and the USA perform equally well on the math test (no productivity differences)
- This rules out (classical) statistical discrimination
- So are employers prejudiced against workers from the USA?
- Using belief elicitation survey, they find employers mistakenly believed that workers from India would perform far better than workers from the USA
- Accounting for these productivity beliefs, there is taste-based discrimination against workers from India
- How could we reduce inaccurate statistical discrimination?
- Bohren et al. provide information on average math score and it reduces discrimination

# Outline

- 1 Taste-Based vs. Statistical Discrimination
- 2 Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination (Bohren et al. (2019))
- 3 Reducing Discrimination

# Reducing Discrimination

- How might we reduce discrimination?
- Some possibilities:
  - ▶ Laws, e.g., Civil Rights Act of 1964
  - ▶ Policies, e.g., blind interviewing
  - ▶ Algorithms
  - ▶ Intergroup contact, e.g., Rao 2019
  - ▶ Defaults that reduce discretion
  - ▶ Others?



MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu/>

14.13: Psychology and Economics  
Spring 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.