5.61 Fall 2017 Problem Set #6

1. Harmonic Oscillator Subjected to Perturbation by an Electric Field

This problem is related to the example discussed in Lecture #19 of a harmonic oscillator perturbed by an oscillating electric field. An electron is connected by a harmonic spring to a fixed point at x = 0. It is subject to a field-free potential energy

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2.$$

The energy levels and eigenstates are those of a harmonic oscillator where

$$\omega = [k/m_e]^{1/2}$$
$$E_v = \hbar\omega(v+1/2)$$
$$\psi_v(x) = (v!)^{-1/2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\dagger})^v \psi_{v=0}(x).$$

Now a constant electric field, E_0 , is applied and V(x) becomes

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2 + E_0ex \quad (e > 0 \text{ by definition}).$$

Note on dipole interactions and signs:

The interaction energy of a charge q located at position x in a uniform DC electric field E_0 is aways

$$H = -\mu E_0 = -E_0 qx.$$

Note the negative sign! This means that when a dipole, $\vec{\mu} = q\vec{x}$, points along the same direction as an electric field, there is a *favorable* interaction (i.e. negative interaction energy).

For an electron, $q = q_{e^-} \equiv -e$, where e is the elementary charge and is *strictly* positive, making the electron's charge negative. Therefore, an electron in a field in the +x direction has an interaction expressed as

$$H = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{E}_0 = -E_0 q_{e^-} x = -E_0 (-e) x = +E_0 ex.$$

As the electron's position x increases, its interaction energy with the field increases (assuming $E_0 > 0$, i.e. the field points in the +x direction). This makes physical sense: we know from 8.02 that an electron likes to go *away* from the direction that the field points (and positive charges like to go *toward* the direction of the field).

You are going to approach this problem two ways:

- (i) by a simple and exact way first, and then
- (ii) by perturbation theory.

A. Solve for x_{\min} , $V(x_{\min})$, and V(x') where $x' = x - x_{\min}$ for this harmonic oscillator in a constant electric field. Is the system still a harmonic oscillator? What is ω for this oscillator?

B. Write an expression for the energy levels as a function of the strength of the electric field.

C. One definition of the *polarizability*, α , is the second derivative of the energy with respect to the electric field

$$\alpha_v = -\frac{d^2 E_v}{dE_0^2}.$$

What is the value of α_v ? Is it *v*-dependent?

D. Another definition of the polarizability is

$$\mu(E_0) - \mu(E=0) = \alpha E_0$$

where μ is the electric dipole moment. Using this definition of α , what is $\mu(E_0)$?

E. Now let's approach this problem by perturbation theory. The zero-order energies and wavefunctions are those of the harmonic oscillator at $E_0 = 0$. The perturbation term is

 $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} = E_0 e \hat{x}$

where \hat{x} is the usual harmonic oscillator displacement coordinate. If

$$\hat{x} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}\right)^{1/2} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}),$$

write a general formula for *all* of the non-zero

$$x_{v',v} \equiv \int dx \psi_{v'}^{\star} \hat{x} \psi_v$$

integrals.

F. Using the value you found for $x_{v',v}$ write all of the E_0 -dependent values for $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{v',v}^{(1)}$ and then compute the energy levels of the harmonic oscillator perturbed by an electric field, where

$$E_v = E_v^{(0)} + E_v^{(1)} + E_v^{(2)}$$

and the perturbed wavefunctions are

$$\psi_v = \psi_v^{(0)} + \psi_v^{(1)}.$$

G. Using $\frac{d^2 E_v}{dE_0^2}$ compute the polarizability, α_v . Is the polarizability *v*-dependent? Does α_v agree with the value you obtained in part **C**?

H. Using the $\left\{\psi_v^{(1)}\right\}$, compute μ_v using

$$\mu_v = e \int dx \psi_v^\star \hat{x} \psi_v$$

where the ψ_v here are the perturbed ψ_v . Is μ *v*-dependent? Should it be *v*-dependent? Does it agree with the result you obtained in part **D**?

2. Some Short Answer Questions

A. Evaluate the following integrals for ψ_{JM} eigenfunctions of \mathbf{J}^2 and \mathbf{J}_z . (i) $\int \psi_{22}^* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{J}}^+ \right)^4 \psi_{2,-2} d\tau$

(*ii*)
$$\int \psi_{33}^* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{J}}^+\right)^4 \psi_{2,-1} d\tau$$

(*iii*) $\int \psi_{33}^* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{J}}^+ \right)^4 \psi_{3,-3} d\tau$

B. Which of the following operators commutes with $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}kx^2 + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\mu}$?

- (i) \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}
- (*ii*) $\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}$

(*iii*) aa^{\dagger}

(iv) aaaa[†]a[†]a[†]

D. Is $2^{-1/2}[\psi_{LM_L} + \psi_{LM_L-1}]$ an eigenfunction of \hat{L}_+ ? Explain.

3. Anharmonic Oscillator

The potential energy curves for most stretching vibrations have a form similar to a Morse potential (x is displacement from equilibrium, written as Q in lecture).

$$V_M(x) = D[1 - e^{-\beta x}]^2 = D[1 - 2e^{-\beta x} + e^{-2\beta x}].$$

Expand in a power series

$$V_M(x) = D[\beta^2 x^2 - \beta^3 x^3 + \frac{7}{12}\beta^4 x^4 + \dots].$$

In contrast, most bending vibrations have an approximately quartic form

$$V_Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2 + ax^4.$$

Here is some useful information:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^{3} &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}\right)^{3/2} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^{3} \\ \hat{x}^{4} &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}\right)^{2} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^{4} \\ \omega &= (k/\mu)^{1/2} \\ \tilde{\omega} &= \frac{(k/\mu)^{1/2}}{2\pi c} \\ (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^{3} &= \hat{a}^{3} + 3(\hat{N} + 1)\hat{a} + 3\hat{N}\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a}^{\dagger 3} \\ (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^{4} &= \hat{a}^{4} + \hat{a}^{2}[4\hat{N} - 2] + [6\hat{N}^{2} + 6\hat{N} + 3] + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}(4\hat{N} + 6) + \hat{a}^{\dagger 4} \\ \hat{N} &= \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}. \end{aligned}$$

The power series expansion of the vibrational energy levels is

$$E_v = hc[\tilde{\omega}(v+1/2) - \tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}(v+1/2)^2 + \tilde{\omega}\tilde{y}(v+1/2)^3].$$

A. For a Morse potential, use perturbation theory to obtain the relationships between (D, β) and $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{y})$. Treat the $(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^3$ term through second-order perturbation theory and the $(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^4$ term only through first-order perturbation theory.

[**HINT**: you will find that $\tilde{\omega}\tilde{y} = 0.$]

B. (Optional Problem) For a quartic potential, find the relationship between $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{y})$ and (k, b) by treating $(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})^4$ through second-order perturbation theory.

4. Semi-Classical Treatment of H-Atom-Like Systems

The radial part of the \mathbf{H} -atom Hamiltonian includes the effective potential

$$V_{\ell}(r) = -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2r^2}.$$

The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of this radial problem are obtained from a 2nd order differential equation for $\chi_{n,\ell}(r) = rR_{n,\ell}(r)$

$$\left[\frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu_H}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - V_\ell(r)\right]\chi_{n,\ell}(r) = E_{n,\ell}\chi_{n,\ell}(r).$$

You are going to understand this problem using a semi-classical approximation, without actually solving the differential equation.

A. For a 1-dimensional problem (OK to choose $\ell = 0$), where n = 0 is the quantum number for the lowest energy level, what is the relationship between n and the number of internal nodes?

B. The de Broglie relationship, $\lambda = h/p$, may be generalized to a system where p is dependent on r, via the classical mechanical definition of p(r)

$$p(r) = [2m(E_{n\ell} - V_{\ell}(r))]^{1/2} = h/\lambda(r).$$

Thus the semi-classical wavelength is

$$\lambda(r) = h[2m(E_{n,\ell} - V_{\ell}(r))]^{-1/2}.$$

The following integral equation enables you to compute the number of semi-classical wavelengths between the turning points of the $V_{\ell}(r)$ at energy $E_{n,\ell}$:

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{r-(E_{n,\ell})}^{r_+(E_{n,\ell})} p_{E_{n,\ell}}(r) dr = \# \text{ of wavelengths.}$$

Justify this equation by reference to an infinite box of width a.

C. If the lowest energy level has quantum number n = 0 and $\psi_{n=0}(r)$ has zero internal nodes, then how many internal nodes does the $\psi_{n=4}(r)$ function have?

How many wavelengths fit between the turning points of $V_{\ell}(r)$ at $E_{n=4}$?

D. There is a semi-classical quantization rule (corrected for tunneling of the wavefunction into the classically forbidden $E < V_{\ell}(r)$ regions)

$$\int_{r_{-}(E_{n})}^{r_{+}(E_{n})} p_{E}(r) dr = \frac{h}{2}(n+1/2)$$

that tells you

5.61 Fall 2017

(i) The energy of the n^{th} level (by iterating E until the quantization condition is satisfied);

(ii) how many energy levels lie at or below whatever value of E you choose;

and *(iii)* what is the density of states, $\frac{dn}{dE}\Big|_{E}$, or

$$\frac{n(E+dE) - n(E-dE)}{2dE}.$$

Use the semi-classical quantization condition to find the energy levels of a harmonic oscillator. (You have to evaluate a do-able integral.)

E. What does the generalized de Broglie definition of $\lambda(r)$ tell you about the locations of nodes for $\ell = 0$ of the **H** atom?

(i) Compute the r value of the innermost internal node in $\chi_{n,\ell}(r)$ for $\ell = 0$, n = 10 and n = 20, where

$$E_{10s} = \frac{-hc\Re_H}{10^2}, \qquad \Re_H = 109679 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

This will show you that the innermost nodes for all $n \gtrsim 6$ members of any $n\ell$ Rydberg series occur at approximately the same value of r.

(ii) For 10s, sketch the locations of all of the internal nodes. Which nodes are closest together and which are the furthest apart?

The next sequence of questions (parts **F** through **J**) are *optional*. They will lead you to estimate the amplitudes of the lobes of $|\psi_{n\ell}(r)|^2$ between each pair of adjacent nodes.

F. The classical mechanical oscillator period for each $n\ell$ level may be estimated from

$$\tau_{n,\ell} = \frac{h}{E_{n+1/2,\ell} - E_{n-1/2,\ell}}$$

Estimate the oscillation period for the 10s state.

G. Derive the time required for a classical electron to travel from the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ to the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ internal node with reference to the equations below:

$$r$$
 of the nth node is denoted as $r_{[n]}$

$$\lambda \left(r_{[n]} \right) = \frac{h}{p\left(r_{[n]} \right)}$$
$$\nu \left(r_{[n]} \right) = p\left(r_{[n]} \right) / m_e$$
$$\Delta t_{[n]} = \frac{\lambda \left(r_{[n]} \right)}{\nu \left(r_{[n]} \right)}.$$

Problem Set #6

H. The *probability* of finding a classical particle moving at positive velocity between the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ and $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ nodes is

$$\frac{\Delta t_{[n]}}{\tau_{n,\ell}}$$

 $\lambda_{n,\ell}^*(r)\lambda_{n,\ell}(r)$ is an oscillatory function, oscillating between 0 and twice the node–to–node probability. Estimate $\psi_{n,\ell}^*\left(r_{[n]}\right)\psi_{n,\ell}\left(r_{[n]}\right)$ and $|\psi_{n,\ell}\left(r_{[n]}\right)|$.

I. How would you use the results that you have derived here to estimate the expectation value of r^k for any value of k [**HINT**: a sum of each node-to-node region]

$$\left\langle r^k \right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{n,\ell}^* r^k \psi_{n,\ell} dr?$$

J. For non-hydrogenic atoms, it is possible to replace the Rydberg equation (integer-n) by

$$E_{n^*,\ell} = -\frac{hc\Re}{n_\ell^{*2}}$$
$$n_\ell^* = n - \delta_\ell$$

where δ_{ℓ} is the "quantum defect." n_{ℓ}^* can be determined empirically from

$$E_{\infty} - E_{n^*\ell} = \frac{hc\Re}{n_{\ell}^{*2}}$$
$$n^* = \left[\frac{hc\Re}{E_{\infty} - E_{n^*\ell}}\right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$[E_{n^*\ell} - E_{n^*\ell-1}] \approx \frac{2hc\Re}{(n_\ell^*)^3}.$$

Are these semi-classical equations sufficient to *approximate* the expected values of all properties of all Rydberg states of all atoms?

MIT OpenCourseWare <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/</u>

5.61 Physical Chemistry Fall 2017

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.