

5.61 Fall 2017  
Problem Set #5

## 1. Phase Ambiguity

When one uses  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$  and  $\hat{N}$  operators to generate all Harmonic Oscillator wavefunctions and calculate all integrals, it is easy to forget what the explicit functional forms are for all of the  $\psi_v(x)$ . In particular, is the innermost (near  $x_-$ ) or outermost (near  $x_+$ ) lobe of the  $\psi_v$  always positive? Use  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger = 2^{-1/2}(\hat{x} - i\hat{p})$  to show that the *outermost* lobe of all  $\psi_v(x)$  is always positive, given that

$$\psi_v(x) = [v!]^{-1/2}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^v \psi_0(x)$$

and that  $\psi_0(x)$  is a positive Gaussian. Apply  $\hat{x}$  and  $-i\hat{p}$  to the region of  $\psi_0(x)$  near  $x_+(E_0)$  to discover whether the region of  $\psi_1(x)$  near  $x_+(E_1)$  is positive or negative.

### A Note about Phase Ambiguity

When one uses  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$  and  $\hat{N}$  operators to generate all Harmonic Oscillator wavefunctions and calculate all integrals, it is easy to forget what the explicit functional forms are for all of the  $\psi_v(x)$ . In particular, is the innermost (near  $x_-$ ) or outermost (near  $x_+$ ) lobe of the  $\psi_v$  always positive? Use  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger = 2^{-1/2}(\hat{x} - i\hat{p})$  to show that the *outermost* lobe of all  $\psi_v(x)$  is always positive, given that

$$\psi_v(x) = [v!]^{-1/2}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^v \psi_0(x)$$

and that  $\psi_0(x)$  is a positive Gaussian. Apply  $\hat{x}$  and  $k - i\hat{p}$  to the region of  $\psi_0(x)$  near  $x_+(E_0)$  to discover whether the region of  $\psi_1(x)$  near  $x_+(E_1)$  is positive or negative.

---

## 2. Anharmonic Oscillator

The potential energy curves for most stretching vibrations have a form similar to a Morse potential

$$V_M(x) = D[1 - e^{-\beta x}]^2 = D[1 - 2e^{-\beta x} + e^{-2\beta x}].$$

Expand in a power series

$$V_M(x) = D \left[ \beta^2 x^2 - \beta^3 x^3 + \frac{7}{12} \beta^4 x^4 + \dots \right].$$

In contrast, most bending vibrations have an approximately quartic form

$$V_Q(x) = \frac{1}{2} k x^2 + b x^4.$$

Here is some useful information:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}^3 &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}\right)^{3/2} (\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^3 \\ \hat{x}^4 &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}\right)^2 (\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^4 \\ \omega &= (k/\mu)^{1/2} \quad [\text{radians/second}] \\ \tilde{\omega} &= \frac{(k/\mu)^{1/2}}{2\pi c} \quad [\text{cm}^{-1} \text{ if } c = 3.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm/second}] \\ (\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^3 &= \hat{\mathbf{a}}^3 + 3(\hat{N} + 1)\hat{\mathbf{a}} + 3\hat{N}\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 3} \\ (\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^4 &= \hat{\mathbf{a}}^4 + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^2[4\hat{N} - 2] + [6\hat{N}^2 + 6\hat{N} + 3] + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 2}(4\hat{N} + 6) + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^{\dagger 4} \\ \hat{N} &= \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger\hat{\mathbf{a}}.\end{aligned}$$

The power series expansion of the vibrational energy levels is

$$E_v = hc [\tilde{\omega}(v + 1/2) - \tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}(v + 1/2)^2 + \tilde{\omega}\tilde{y}(v + 1/2)^3].$$

**Hint:** The goal of this problem is to relate information about the potential surface (i.e.  $D$  and  $\beta$ ) to information about the energy level pattern we can obtain experimentally (i.e.  $\tilde{\omega}$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}$ , etc.). We make these connections via perturbation theory.

**A.** For a Morse potential, use perturbation theory to obtain the relationships between  $(D, \beta)$  and  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{y})$ . Treat the  $(\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^3$  term through second-order perturbation theory and the  $(\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^4$  term only through first order perturbation theory.

[**HINT:** you will find that  $\tilde{\omega}\tilde{y} = 0$ .]

---

**B. Optional Problem**

For a quartic potential, find the relationship between  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{x}, \tilde{\omega}\tilde{y})$  and  $(k, b)$  by treating  $(\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^4$  through second-order perturbation theory.

---

### 3. Perturbation Theory for Harmonic Oscillator Tunneling Through a $\delta$ -function Barrier

$$V(x) = (k/2)x^2 + C\delta(x) \quad (3.1)$$

where  $C > 0$  for a barrier.  $\delta(x)$  is a special, infinitely narrow, infinitely tall function centered at  $x = 0$ . It has the convenient property that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)\psi_v(x)dx = \psi_v(0) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\psi_v(0)$  is the value at  $x = 0$  of the  $v^{\text{th}}$  eigenfunction for the harmonic oscillator. Note that, for all  $v = \text{odd}$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)\psi_{\text{odd}}(x)dx = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

**A.**

(i) The  $\{\psi_v\}$  are normalized in the sense

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_v|^2 dx = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

What are the units of  $\psi(x)$ ?

(ii) From Eq. (3.2), what are the units of  $\delta(x)$ ?

(iii)  $V(x)$  has units of energy. From Eq. (3.1), what are the units of the constant,  $C$ ?

**B.** In order to employ perturbation theory, you need to know the values of all integrals of  $\hat{H}^{(1)}$

$$\hat{H}^{(1)} \equiv C\delta(x) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_{v'}(x) \hat{H}^{(1)} \psi_v(x) dx = C\psi_{v'}(0)\psi_v(0) \quad (3.6)$$

$$\hat{H}^{(0)}\psi_v(x) = \hbar\omega(v + 1/2)\psi_v(x). \quad (3.7)$$

Write general formulas for  $E_v^{(1)}$  and  $E_v^{(2)}$  (do not yet attempt to evaluate  $\psi_v(0)$  for all even- $v$ ). Use the definitions in Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9).

$$E_v^{(1)} = H_{vv}^{(1)} \quad (3.8)$$

$$E_v^{(2)} = \sum_{v' \neq v} \frac{\left(H_{vv'}^{(1)}\right)^2}{E_v^{(0)} - E_{v'}^{(0)}} \quad (3.9)$$

**C.** The semi-classical amplitude of  $\psi(x)$  is proportional to  $[v_{\text{classical}}(x)]^{-1/2}$  where  $v_{\text{classical}}(x)$  is the classical mechanical velocity at  $x$

$$v_{\text{classical}}(x) = p_{\text{classical}}(x)/\mu = \frac{1}{\mu}[2\mu(E_v - V(x))]^{1/2}. \quad (3.10)$$

At  $x = 0$ ,  $v_{\text{classical}}(0) = \left[\frac{2\hbar\omega(v+1/2)}{\mu}\right]^{1/2}$ . The proportionality constant for  $\psi(x)$  is obtained from the ratio of the time it takes to move from  $x$  to  $x + dx$  to the time it takes to go from  $x_-(E_v)$  to  $x_+(E_v)$ , which is 1/2 of the period of oscillation.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(0)^2 dx &= \frac{dx/v_{\text{classical}}(0)}{\tau_v/2} \\ &= \frac{2dx}{v_{\text{classical}}(0)(h/\hbar\omega)} = \frac{2\omega dx}{2\pi v_{\text{classical}}(0)} \\ \psi_v(0) &\approx \left[\frac{(\omega/\pi)}{v_{\text{classical}}(0)}\right]^{1/2} \quad \text{for even-}v \end{aligned}$$

Use this semi-classical evaluation of  $\psi_v(0)$  to estimate the dependence of  $H_{vv}^{(1)}$  and  $H_{vv'}^{(2)}$  on the vibrational quantum numbers,  $v$  and  $v'$ .

---

**D.** Make the assumption that all terms in the sum over  $v'$  (Eq. 3.9) except the  $v, v + 2$  and  $v, v - 2$  terms are negligibly small. Determine  $E_v = E_v^{(0)} + E_v^{(1)} + E_v^{(2)}$  and comment on the qualitative form of the vibrational energy level diagram. Are the odd- $v$  levels shifted at all from their  $E_v^{(0)}$  values? Are the even- $v$  levels shifted up or down relative to  $E_v^{(0)}$ ? How does the size of the shift depend on the vibrational quantum number?

---

**E.** Estimate  $E_1 - E_0$  and  $E_3 - E_2$ . Is the effect of the  $\delta$ -function barrier on the level pattern increasing or decreasing with  $v$ ?

---

**F.** Sketch (freehand) the superposition state,  $\Psi(x, t = 0) = 2^{-1/2}[\psi_0(x) + \psi_1(x)]$ . Predict the qualitative behavior of  $\Psi^*(x, t)\Psi(x, t)$ .

---

The time-dependence of this two-level superposition state's probability distribution

**G.** Compute  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle_t$  for the coherent superposition state in part **F**. Recall that

$$x_{v+1,v} = (\text{some known constants}) \int \psi_{v+1}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)\psi_v dx.$$

---

**H.** Discuss what you expect for the qualitative behavior of  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle_t$  for the  $v = 0, 1$  superposition vs. that of the  $v = 2, 3$  superposition state. How will the right $\leftrightarrow$ left tunneling rate depend on the value of  $C$ ?

---

#### 4. Perturbation Theory for a Particle in a modified infinite box

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} = \hat{p}^2/2m + V^{(0)}(x)$$

$$V^{(0)}(x) = \infty \quad x < 0, x > a$$

$$V^{(0)}(x) = 0 \quad 0 \leq x \leq a$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} = V'(x)$$

$$V'(x) = 0 \quad x < \frac{a-b}{2}, x > \frac{a+b}{2}$$

$$V'(x) = -V_0 \quad \frac{a-b}{2} < x < \frac{a+b}{2}, V_0 > 0$$

where  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ , and  $a > b$ .

**A.** Draw  $V^{(0)}(x) + V'(x)$ .

**B.** What are  $\psi_n^{(0)}(x)$  and  $E_n^{(0)}$ ?

**C.** What is the selection rule for non-zero integrals

$$\mathbf{H}_{nm}^{(1)} = \int dx \psi_n^{(0)} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \psi_m^{(0)}?$$

**D.** Use

$$\sin A \sin B = \frac{1}{2} [\cos(A - B) - \cos(A + B)]$$

and

$$\int dx \cos Cx = \frac{1}{C} \sin Cx$$

to compute  $E_n = E_n^{(0)} + E_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(2)}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2$ , and  $3$  and limiting the second-order perturbation sums to  $n \leq 5$ .

**E.** Now reverse the sign of  $V_0$  and compare the energies of the  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$  levels for  $V_0 > 0$  vs.  $V_0 < 0$ .

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu/>

5.61 Physical Chemistry  
Fall 2017

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.